the 193 .

Date of issue 1/8/31

Signed by H. H. S. Mason Taylor

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sir,

I am directed to
invite a reference to
letter of 13th May 1931 re-
garding the progress of
proceedings for the recov-
ery of duty from the late
Mr Mandadin, and
request to be informed
when a final report
on the matter may be
expected.

I have &c
[illegible]
30.7.31

[over]

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section S.O.F

File No. 21-29

Serial No. 82

No. D 716

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To

The Trade Commr.

Date of issue 1/8/31

Signed by H. H. S. Newnham

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference from letter D 134 May 1931 regarding the progress of proceedings for the recovery of arrears of Land Revenue from the late Mamlatdar, and to request to be informed when a final report in the matter may be expected.

I have &c.

30.7.31

Lower

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30.

No 9716 7/1781

D.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London,
Grand Buildings, Trafalgar Square,
LONDON. W.C.2

I am directed to invite a reference to your letter dated 13th May 1931 regarding the progress of the proceedings for the recovery of the amounts due from the late Mr. Mavlankar, and to request to be informed when a final report in the matter may be expected.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Jai Mafli) Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

16.

GEN. 11 AUG.

DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

MK.

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
GERRARD 4412

Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

S.O.9.21-29-83

D 491

15th July, 1931.

No. 1078 1931

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
3 AUG. 1931
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

The Secretary,
to H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Public Offices,
BANGALORE, India.

Dear Sir:

K.B. Mavlankar Decd.

I enclose copy of letter dated 14th July from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., in regard to the further progress made on their closing the business of the late Mr. K.B. Mavlankar.

Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., have this matter well in hand.

They inform me that it is not possible to hurry matters as they wish to collect as much of the outstandings as they possibly can before completing the accounts and sending in a final report to Government.

Yours faithfully,

Trade Commissioner for Mysore
in London.

Subs for perusal:
18.6.8.31

7.8.31

Engls

8.8.31

8/8/31

9/8/31

10/8/31

10.8.31

REV. SECRETARY

Copy.

BLUNDELL, BAKER & COMPANY,
16, Sergeants Inn,
Fleet Street,
London, E.C.4.

AHB/DS.

14th July, 1931.

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore
in London.

Dear Sir:

K.B.Mavlankar decd.

In continuance of our last report to you on the above matter dated 7th May 1931, we have to report further as follows :-

Encl: 15
D-7857-30.

1. Proceedings against Bobin & Giannetto. Mr. Bobin has paid £95 on account of the taxed costs and is paying £10 a month off the balance.

2. Indian Gymkhana Club Debt. A further £50 has been received on account of this and handed over to the Receiver. The Club are finding difficulty in raising the other £200 and have asked if they can be relieved of the interest amounting to £30. We have told them that if £170 is paid before the end of this month, the Master will no doubt sanction its receipt in full discharge.

3. Outstanding assets in this country. The £4. 11. 5. referred to in our report of the 7th May has been recovered. The Receiver is still trying to liquidate the small stocks belonging to the Estate in various parts of the world.

4. Assets in India. We are waiting to hear from Messrs. Little & Co., of Bombay that they have liqidated the deceased's estate and liabilities in Inda.

5. Generally. As soon as the Indian estate is liqidated and the Indian Gymkhana Club dealt with, the Administration Action can go forward and be wound up.

We are, dear Sir,
Yours faithfully,

S.O. Fr. 21-29-84

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
GERRARD 4412

Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

26th August, 1931.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
14 SEP. 1931
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

K.B. MAVLANKAR, decd.

In reply to your letter, No. D. 716, dated the 1st August, I herewith enclose copy of letter received to-day from Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. in regard to the progress made on closing the business of the late Mr. K.B. Mavlankar.

I will keep you informed of any further news received from the Solicitors.

Yours faithfully,

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.
(In charge).

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA IN COUNCIL
17 SEP. 1931
No. 5544

DEVELOP...

Copy of letter from Blundell, Baker & Co.
dated 25th August, 1931, to Trade Commissioner
for Mysore in London.

K.B. MAVLANKAR, decd.

In reply to your letter of the 24th inst., we reported to you in connection with the above matter last on the 14th of July ult. The present position of the matter is as follows:-

1. Proceedings against Bobin and Giannetto.

Bobin continues to pay the £10. a month off the balance due from him in respect of the taxed costs.

2. Indian Gymkhana Club.

The Club have paid the £170. referred to in our last report in full discharge of their debt and so the whole £670. has been recovered and paid over to the Receiver.

3. Outstanding assets in this country.

These have now all been got in.

4. Assets in India.

The liquidation of the Indian assets and the clearing up of the position there is proceeding but it must be a month or two yet before affairs in India are liquidated.

5. Generally.

We do not anticipate that it will be possible to complete the winding up of the Administration Action before the end of the present year. We will continue to make you short reports of the position, the next one of which will not be made until the end of October after the Courts have re-opened.

S.O.F 21-29-85

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
GERRARD 4412

Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

3rd September, 1931.

D 1166

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
21 SEP. 1931

DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT.
21. SEP. 1931

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER.
25 SEP. 1931
No. 1133

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

21/9/31

K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

Further to my letter of the 26th August, 1931, I now send you copies of letters dated the 27th August and 29th August, which will give you further information regarding the closure of the business of the late Mr. K.B. Mavlankar.

You will note that the Solicitors estimate that it may be possible to pay from 1/6d to 2/- in the pound on the various debts outstanding when the accounts are finally closed.

Yours faithfully,

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.
(In charge).

Subd for perusal
& K.P.
22/9

22.9.31

Secy
Dev Dept
(2nd member)

24/9 21/9/31

Secy
Dev
25/9

21/9/31

Copy of letter dated 27th August, 1931, from Blundell Baker & Co. to Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

In reply to your letter of yesterday, to give you the details of the total amount now invested would necessitate obtaining from the Court a Transcript of the Lodgment, and Investments and the accrued interest and dividends. We can obtain this if you wish it, but it would have to be at the Government's personal expense and not as part of the Costs of the Proceedings, as it would not be allowed in these, at this stage.

We think, however, the following particulars are what you want:-

In September 1930, £775. the proceeds of sale of Revelstoke Road were paid into Court. On March 1931 £2,640. representing the sale of the stocks were also paid direct into Court, and in May 1931 £1200. the proceeds of sale of Merton Road were also paid into Court.

The above sums were invested in Conversion Loan and Local Loan Stock and, we think, in Funding Loan and were directed to be accumulated. At the present time we imagine that if the Stocks were sold they would realise about £5,000.

There is in addition possibly some money to come from India, from the winding up of the Indian Estate, which, however, will not be much and there may be some sum in the Receiver's hands when his final Account is taken.

The Receiver's charges have been paid entirely by deduction, by direction of the Master, and nothing further is payable to him.

Out of the Funds in Court and any further moneys available, as above, the Taxed Costs will have to come, and the balance will be divisible amongst the Creditors. It looks to us as though there will be sufficient to pay between 1/6d and 2/-

P.T.O.

Copy of letter dated the 29th August, 1931, from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., to Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

We acknowledge yours of yesterday. Every receipt in this Estate save those we mentioned in our letter of the 27th, has gone through the Receiver and has been or will be included in his Accounts. The Receiver has brought in vouched and passed two accounts already and there will be a final one due to be lodged vouched and passed before the proceedings terminate. The 1st Account covered the period 14th March 1930 to 14th September 1930 and the 2nd Account covered from 14th September 1930 to 14th March 1931. The final account will carry on from 14th March 1931 to the end of the Receivership.

Do you want copies of the Receiver's first two accounts as passed and of the Master's Certificates allowing them? If so, we shall be pleased to make them for you or you can of course see our drafts here if that is what you require.

S.O.721-29-86

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
GERRARD 4412.
Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

8th October, 1931.

SECRETARY
26 OCT. 1931

D/594

GEN. & LEG. SECTION
27 OCT. 1931
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
30 OCT. 1931
No. 2729

The Director,
Sandalwood Oil Factories,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

Re K.B. Mavlankar, decd.
Your No.912 - 31.8.1931.

I had an interesting discussion with Mr. Blundell, of Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co., the Government Solicitors, in regard to the present state of affairs in connection with the closing down of the business of the late Mr. K.B. Mavlankar.

Mr. Blundell has promised to give me a full note on the present position of affairs along with copies of the Receiver's accounts in the course of the next few days. I will pass these on to the Secretary to Government, Development Department, since I have another letter from him, No.D.718, dated the 1st August, 1931, on the same subject, still pending a reply.

You may obtain such information as you may require to answer the questions raised in the Comptroller's audit report from the papers sent to the Development Secretary. I have to make this request as the preparation of copies here of the Receiver's accounts is expensive. I expect to send the letter next Thursday and shall also advise you.

Yours faithfully,

(sd) C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib.

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Copy forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Development Department, Bangalore.

C. Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

A

716.
S.O.F. 21-29
82- F.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 193 .

Serial No.

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
1 OCT. 1981
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-3

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

15th October, 1931.

S.O 7 21-29/692 - D 87

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
3. NOV. 1931

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
3 NOV. 1931
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

With reference to my letter dated the 8th October to the Director, Sandalwood Oil Factories, regarding the present state of affairs in connection with the business of the late Mr. K.B. Mavlankar, I forward herewith a copy of the entire set of papers, along with a resumé of the proceedings, prepared by the Solicitors, Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co., for your submission to Government.

The papers include statements obtained from the Court showing the funds in Court, as well as details of the accounts submitted to the Court by the Receiver. I trust that the present situation will become clear from a perusal of the resumé of proceedings.

Mr. Blundell told me that as soon as final reports are received from the Bombay Attorneys, he will apply to the Court for a winding up of the affairs of the late firm.

I trust that the information that is submitted herewith is complete and gives full particulars.

Yours faithfully,

Ramaswamy Mudaliar

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Dear Sir,

K. B. Mavlankar decd.

Resumé of proceedings and Report on Present Position of Action to Administer Estate.

Mr. Mavlankar died on 1st February 1930. He was ill and confined to bed for some weeks before his death. Whilst in India in 1929 giving evidence for the Mysore Government against the Directors of Essenfleur Products Ltd., Mr. Mavlankar had entered into and signed an Agreement with the Government for making restitution for his part of the defalcations in connection with Sandal wood oil. On his return to this country he was taken seriously ill before he could make a real effort to carry out the terms of this Agreement and died as before stated on 1st February 1930.

Mr. Mavlankar died insolvent. His Widow proved his Will early in March 1930 and immediately thereafter a suit was instituted in the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice at the instance of the Government to administer the deceased's estate. On 14th March 1930 an Order was made in the suit appointing a Receiver to carry on and dispose of the business of deceased and to get in his estate and directing enquiries as to his liabilities etc. - the usual Judgment in a Creditors Administration suit.

It was represented to the Receiver at the outset by the deceased's Widow and the Manager of the business one Bobin that the business of deceased was a very valuable one and it was stated that Mrs. Mavlankar had friends in this country and India who were anxious to assist her by buying and carrying on the business. The Manager also represented that he had no doubt he could carry on the business with little or no loss until a sale of the same to Mrs. Mavlankar's friends or some-one else

could be negociated.

The Receiver on 14th October 1930 made a detailed report of all that he had done in connection with the business to the Trade Commissioner in London, and a copy of this Report accompanies. Very shortly stated, the position turned out to be as follows:-

(a) The stock in trade was largely fictitious and grossly overvalued.

(b) There was no goodwill in the business.

(c) Heavy losses were incurred in carrying on the business.

(d) After interminable delays it became apparent that Mrs. Mavlankar's friends were not serious in their desire to buy the business and no-one else would consider buying it.

(e) The Manager (Bobin) and his Chief assistants instead of working for the Receiver endeavoured to steal the business and part of its assets for themselves.

In the Result

(a) The business was closed down by order of the Court and the stocks disposed of at the best price.

(b) A Motion was launched to commit Bobin and his principal assistant for contempt which resulted in Bobin making such restitution as he could and being ordered to pay the costs and the Chief assistant fled to Messina.

The position now is as follows:

(a) The creditors of the estate in England have been ascertained and the whole of the assets in England have been got in.

(b) The Receiver has brought in and passed two accounts 14th March - 14th September 1930: 14th September 1930 - 14th March 1931, and copies of these two accounts and the certificates allowing them accompany. The Receiver's Third Account covering the period 14th March 1931 - 14th September 1931 is now before the Court being passed and

a copy will be supplied in due course.

(c) The two leasehold houses of the deceased have been sold for £775 and £1200 respectively and the proceeds lodged in Court - the former invested in 2½ Consols, the latter in 5% War Loan. The proceeds of the stock in trade £2640 were also lodged in Court and invested in Local Loans 3% Stock. Two certificates of the fund-s in Court showing the above accompany.

(d) The amount due to the creditors of the dedeased is roughly £40000 - £42000 of which the amount due to the Mysore Government is £32000 or so. After payment of expenses there should be 2/- in the £ for the Creditors.

(e) The delay in winding up the suit arises from the fact that there are Indian assets and liabilities of the deceased which were only disclosed in the beginning of 1931 and until these assets have been administered it is impossible to wind the estate up here. A copy of the correspondence with Messrs. Little & Co. of Bombay who are acting as Attornies in the winding up of the Indian assets accompanies and will shew the position there.

Blundell Sahib & Co

775-
1200
2640
4615-

16, Serjeants Inn,
Fleet Street, E.C.4.

13th October 1931.

To The Trade Commissioner of
Mysore in London,
Grand Buildings,
Trafalgar Square,
W.C.

1200
775
2540
4515

re K. B. MAVLANKAR, decd.

RESUME of Proceedings and REPORT on present Position of Action to Administer Estate.

BLUNDELL BAKER & CO.
16 Serjeants Inn,
Fleet Street, E.C.4.

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

18th November, 1931.

D 2129 S07 21-29-89

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY 7 DEC. 1931 GEN. SECRETARIAT

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY 7. DEC. 1931

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

K.B.MAVLANKAR, decd.

D.1682
S07 21-29-87.

Further to my letter forwarding copies of the Receiver's accounts No.1 and 2, I now enclose copy of the Receiver's third account which has been vouched and passed by the Master, together with the Master's certificate allowing the same.

I may also mention that the sum of £561.13.5d. due from the Receiver has been received by Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co. and lodged in the Bank of England together with the other sums recovered.

Yours faithfully,

CR Aiyangar

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Jud.Fees.
2/6d.

Filed 11th November, 1931.
Stamp 10/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE 1930 M.No.934

Stamp
18/-

CHANCERY DIVISION

MR JUSTICE CLAUSON

IN THE MATTER of the Estate of Keshav Balkrishna Mavlankar deceased

B E T W E E N THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE Plaintiff

- and -

TARABAI MAVLANKAR (Widow) Defendant

IN PURSUANCE of the directions given to me by the Judge I hereby certify that in pursuance of the Judgment made in this Action dated the 14th day of March 1930 and the Master's Certificate dated the 14th day of April 1930 Charles William Boyce of 25/31 Moorgate in the City of London Chartered Accountant the person appointed Receiver and Manager as in the said Judgment mentioned (which Managership has since expired) has left in the Chambers of the said Judge his Third Account as such Receiver and Manager from the 14th day of March 1931 to the 14th day of September, 1931 both inclusive and such Account has been taken and allowed pursuant to the Rules and Orders of the Supreme Court and the result thereof as appears by the Summary at the end hereof and of the said Account signed by me is as follows:

The said Receiver and the Plaintiff have attended by their respective Solicitors.

The receipts amount altogether to the sum of £801. 1. 7 and the payments and allowances including the sum of £110.12.2 certified to be due to the said Receiver on passing his Second Account and the costs of passing this Account and percentage thereon to the sum of £229.8.2 and there is due from the said Receiver as the balance of such Account the sum of £571.13.5 part of which

sum of £571.13.5 videlicet £561.13.5d. in pursuance of the direction contained in the said Judgment of the 14th day of March 1930 is to be paid into Court by the said Receiver to the credit of this Action re Mavlankar The Government of His Higness the Maharajah of Mysore v Mavlankar 1930 M.934 on or before the 24th day of November 1931 and the remainder of such sum of £571.13.5 videlicet £10.0.0. is to be retained by the said Receiver and accounted for in his next Account

The particulars of the above receipts and payments appear in the Account marked "A" verified by the Affidavit of the said Receiver filed the 22nd day of October, 1931.

SUMMARY OF THE ACCOUNT

Receipts		£801. 1. 7
Payments	£217.17.11	
Costs of passing the Account	11.10. 3	229. 8. 2
Balance due from the Receiver		£571.13. 5

The Evidence produced consists of the said Affidavit of the Receiver filed the 22nd day of October,1931 and the Exhibits "A" and "B" thereto the Order dated 2nd February 1931 and the Office Copy Certificate dated 20th May, 1931 of passing the Receiver's Second Account.

DATED this 10th day of November, 1931.

R.T.Watkin-Williams.

Master.

Dated 10th November, 1931.
Filed 11th " "

Re

K. B$^{a}_{s}$ MAVLANKAR DECD

THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT

v

T.MAVLANKAR

Copy

CERTIFICATE

allowing Receiver's Third Account.

Blundell Baker & Co.,

16 Serjeants Inn,

Fleet Street,E.C.4.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

CHANCERY DIVISION

MR JUSTICE CLAUSON

This is the Receiver's Account marked "A" referred to in the Affidavit of Charles William Boyce sworn before me this 22nd day of October, 1931.

A Commissioner for Oaths.

IN THE MATTER OF K.B.MAVLANKAR deceased

MYSORE GOVERNMENT v MAVLANKAR

Q & 30.16

IE THIRD ACCOUNT of CHARLES WILLIAM BOYCE Receiver and Manager appointed in pursuance of a Judgment dated 14th day of March, 1931.

Blundell Baker &Co.,
16 Serjeants Inn, E.C.4.

1. IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
CHANCERY DIVISION
MR JUSTICE CLAUSON

IN THE MATTER of the

BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS

and

TARABAI MAVLANKAR

THE THIRD ACCOUNT of CHARLES WILLIAM BOYCE
dated 14th day of March, 1930

No of Item	Date when Recvd	Names of Persons from whom Received	On What Account Received.	Amount Received.
	1931.			
1	17 Mar.	Joe Lowe,Food Products Co.Ltd.	Sales of Stock	16. 4
2.	23 Mar.	Indian Gymkhana Club	Payment on account of debt	400. - -
3.	9 April.	Thos.Powell Ltd.	March Rent	8.16. -
4.	13th "	Indian Gymkhana Club	Payment on account of debt	50. - -
5.	23rd "	Sociedad Monegal	Sales of Consignment Stock	11.10. 6
6.	1st May	Mrs.Mavlankar	Apportionment on Sale of 492 Merton Road	1.19. 2
7.	4th "	A.H.Todd Ltd.	Sales of Stock	23. 2. -
8.	9th "	Neville Bros.	do.	4.11. 5
9.	12th "	Thos Powell Ltd.	Telephone Charges	6. 5. 3
10.	14th "	(The Uxbridge,Maidenhead Wycombe & Dist. Gas. Co.	Deposit Refund	15. - -
11.	16th "	London Waste Paper Co.	Sale of Waste Paper	5. 9
12.	29th "	Sociedad Monegal	Sales of Consignment Stock	22. 4. 4
13.	19th June	Bank of India	Debt collected	10. 4
14.	30th "	A.H.Todd Ltd.	Sales of Stock	10. 3. -
15.	1st July	Indian Gymkhana Club	Payment on account of Debt.	50. - -
16.	31st "	do.	Balance on account of Debt	170. - -
17.	13th Aug.	E.J.Walton & Co.	Insurance Premium Refund	17. 6
18.	" "	Lautier Fils	Proceeds of sale of sweet orange oil	12.15. -
19.	14th Sept.	Mrs.Mavlankar	Rents of Dwelling Hse 492 Merton Rd.London,S.W.18 per Receiver's real este. receipts.	
			Forward	£801. 1. 7

ESTATE of KESHAV BALKRISHNA MAVLANKAR deceased

HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE — Plaintiff

(Widow — Defendant

Receiver and Manager appointed in pursuance of a JUDGMENT

No. of Item.	Date when Paid or Allowed 1931	Names of Persons to whom Paid or Allowed.	For what purpose Paid or Allowed	Amount Paid or Allowed.
1.	14th March	Balance due under	Receiver's Second Account	110.12. 2
2.	" "	A.R.Drew	Settlement of Wages & Commission	16.10. 8
3.	7th April	Grand Union Canal Co.	Rent to 25/3/31 less Sch.A.	2. 5. -
4.	" "	Young Jones & Co	Rent to 25/3/31	7.10. -
5.	9th "	Postmaster General	Telephone,Yiewsley	4. 4. 6
6.	21st "	E.J.Walton & Co.	Insurance	2. 9. 3
7.	25th "	The Uxbridge Maidenhead Wycombe & District Gas Co.	Gas to 25/3/31	1. 9.11
8.	27th "	T.Allen	Ground Rent.Merton Rd. to 21.3.31	1.18. 9
9.	7th May	F.W.Berk	Goods for Resale	2. - -
10.	" "	Rickmansworth & Uxbridge Water Co.	Water Rate to 25/3/31	1. 7. -
11.	" "	Coutts Bank	Cheque Book	8. -
12.	13th "	Grand Union Canal Co.	Proportion of Rent to 7th May	5. 6. 4
13.	" "	Young Jones & Co.	do. do.	3.10.10
14.	" "	Ashby,Staines Brewery Co.	do. do.	2. 4
15.	" "	Barter Trading Co.	Goods for resale	1.19. -
16.	16th "	J.A.Collins	Legal charges authorised by Master	3. 1. 4
17.	5th June	Inland Revenue	Sch.A. to date of vacation of premises	1. 7. -
18.	14th Sept.	Sundry Persons	Wages and Petty Cash payments for period	
			Postages 10.16. -	
			Telephones, Cables,etc. 7.11. 2	
			18. 7. 2	
			Forward	£166. 2. 1

No. of Item	Date when Recvd	Names of Persons from whom Received	On What Account Received	Amount Received
			Forward	801. 1. 7
				£801. 1. 7

SUMMARY

Receipts 15th March, 1931		
14th Sept. 1931		801. 1. 7
Payments	107. 5. 9	
Balance due to Receiver on Second Account	110.12. 2	217.17.11
		583. 3. 8
Cost of Passing Account		11-10-3
Balance of Account		£ 571-13-5

No. of Item	Date when Paid or allowed	Names of Persons to whom Paid or Allowed	For What purpose paid or Allowed		Amount Paid or Allowed.
			Forward	18. 7. 2	166. 2. 1
			Travelling Exps.	3. 9. 0	
			Freight	1. 0.11	
			Wages	24. - -	
			Fuel	6. 2	
			Sundries	4.12. 7	51.15.10
					£217.17.11

RECEIVER'S REAL ESTATE

R E C E I P T S

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

CHANCERY DIVISION

MR JUSTICE CLAUSON

IN THE MATTER of the ESTATE

B E T W E E N THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS

- and -

TARABAI MAVLANKAR

THE THIRD ACCOUNT of CHARLES WILLIAM

dated 14th day of March, 1930.

No of Item	Date When Recvd.	Tenants Names.	Description of Premises	Weekly Rent.
	1931.			
	21st Mar.	Mrs.Mavlankar	492 Merton Road, Wandsworth,S.W.19.	1.10. -
	24th "	"	"	1.10. -
	4th Apl.	"	"	1.10. -
	11th "	"	"	1.10. -
	18th "	"	"	1.10. -

of KESHAV BALKRISHNA MAVLANKAR, Deceased

HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE — Plaintiff

(Widow) — Defendant

BOYCE Receiver and Manager appointed in pursuance of a Judgment

Arrears due at 19	Amount due at 19	Amount Received	Amount remaining due	Observations.
8. - -	1.10. -	5.15. -	4. 5. -	
4. 5. -	1.10. -	1.15. -	4. - -	
4. - -	1.10. -	1.15. -	3.15. -	
3.15. -	1.10. -	1.15. -	3.10. -	
3.10. -	1.10. -	1.15. -	3. 5. -	Balance.Arrears settled in Apportionments See Payment 1st May, 1931.
		£12. 5. -		

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section S. 7

File No. 21-29

Serial No. 88

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No D 2585

To The Trade Commissioner

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 15th Oct. 1931 forwarding a copy of the entire set of papers prepared by Messrs Blundell, Baker & Co. relating to Mandanda affairs and to state that the desirability of winding up affairs in London, in view of the fact that costs would exceed the realisable assets in India, has been suggested by the Solicitors in London.

I have &c

24/11/31

for Secretary

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 26/11/31

Signed by K. M. S. Kamalakara Rao

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

D 2585 1682 J 26/11/31

Copy Grant Adm. S.O.T. for information.
From the Correspondence between his
Solicitors in England and Bombay, it is
seen that Messrs M.A.T. Acharya owe a
Sum of £ 31-19-8 to Mr. Mandlekar's estate
in England. (Extract from letter J 49, from
Messrs A. Little & Co. Bombay & Messrs
Mundell Baker & Co. is enclosed). Necessary
particulars may be obtained from Messrs
M.A.T. Acharya with regard and his Sons
Communication to Solicitors Messrs: Little & T.C.
Very early.

Yrs

24.11.31

for Secy.

Ref. 24.11.31

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

21st December, 1931.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY.
11. JAN. 1932

D 2529
GEN. & REV. SE...
1 JAN
DEVL...

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

11.1.32

Dear Sir,

K.B.MAVLANKAR decd.

In reply to your letter, D2585/SOF-21-29, dated the 26th November, I write to say that Messrs. Blundell Baker inform me that there will be no cost incurred in keeping the matter open here whilst we are waiting to hear from Messrs. Little & Co.

Yours faithfully,,

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Subd for perusal; this is in reply to an observation made by Govt. that the affairs in London about Mr. Mavlankar should be closed early. The main file has been referred to Comptroller & A.G. (D. 2172/31) perusal

13.1.32 May be filed after perusal 15/1/32

Secy. Dev. Dept. 15/1 18/1/32

Subd for perusal
1.2.32/48.
18/1/32

2650

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat S. 7. File No. 24 of 1929.

Serial No.	
	Letter dated 24th December 1931 from Mrs Mavalankar praying for some consideration in the matter of restoring some of her properties to her.
D1682, 2129	The Receiver's final report regarding the affairs of late Mr. K.S Mavalankar has not yet been received. The main file has been referred to the Comptroller; if approved, its return to the file will be awaited.
15.12.31.	
Rem. to Comptroller 21-1-32	KB. 26.1.32
	26.1.32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

DEWAN'S OFFICE
2 U. JAN. 1932

492, Merton Road,
London, S.W. 18.

24th December, 1931.

GEN. & REV. DEPT.
1 JAN
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

D 2650

Sir Haji Ishmael Mirza,
Divan Saheb of Mysore,
Mysore.

Your Excellency,

Re. Late Mr. Mavlankar's Estate. -

The above estate has been now practically wound up, and The Official Receiver in London will soon be passing to you as Crditor State, your share of assets, which will be certainly the Lion's Share out of the small realisation.

In my husband's life-time it was my habit not to interfere in his affairs or his business disputes, and such necessarily is my attitude now, as your humble petitioner.

I do not raise the question of claims of any creditors, as I am not acquainted with their nature, and I have generally accepted all claims made as good claims.

I submit my petition now for special consideration ex gratia only on normal grounds.

The Official Receiver has rightly collected all monies that he was technically entitled to collect as standing in my husband's name at the time of his death. He had been kind towards us in his method of collection at various stages.

I understand he has sold off my husband's entire business also one property, 35 Ravelstock Road, Wimbledon, and has collected any cash at Bank or with Debtors in England or in India. On these matters I have no openion to express.

However, I entreat to your Excellency the following three items:

(1) The house, 492 Merton Road which was in my use was purchased by my husband long before his connection with Mysore business. In order to continue possession of this house and not to be thrown out, I had to find and pay to the Receiver £ 1200, and I had to raise this big amount by incurring debts from two parties on mortgage arrangement.

(2) In my husband's life-time from my small savings my husband had charge of investing about £ 900 in a small estate near the place of our factory. At the last moment the Committee of the Indian Gymkhana had difficulty with their Bankers, and my husband found it necessary to help them with £ 700 atonce. Consequently I was forced to sign a mortgage Agreement with Abbey Road Building Society and to incure a debt efor this amount. The idea was that the Gymkhana Loan was only temporary, and as soon as they returned £ 700 to my husband, he would apply the same to paying up my Loan. Then with the sudden death of my husband this Loan to the Gymkhana read like a part of his estate and thus got transferred to your Receiver.

(3) A man like my husband, without any floating wealth and any earning male heir had thought it necessary to make a life Insurance provission as the only provission for his widow and two young daughters.

There was an old small policy in Bombay about £ 200, and a policy in London for about £ 5,600 of which one Bank claimed and took away £ 3,000. The two balances of about £ 2,800 have been collected by the Receiver according to Law.

I therefore, humbly pray that you give consideration to the fact that these sums totalling about £ 4,700 was collected by the Receiver not

not from the purely business side of the estate but really and truely from the mouths of the widow and the two daughters of the deceased, certainly in correct carrying out of the Law as it stands. About £ 4,000 of this sum would likely go to your State as the largest Creditor. With proper legal precaution another man in his life-time would have carefully secured the above sums for his wife and children. In our case this amount becomes the only hope of our future.

I readily admit that in strict Law your State is fully entitled to keep this amount, but I pray that giving special consideration to my submissions the State do show me a favour and out of this sum, or out of any ex gratia grant make me and my helpless daughters. I dare not show how this can be done, I only rly upon my conviction that it cannot be beyond the power of a state to exercise moral consideration and to find a way of doing it.

I therefore humbly urge upon to give this consideration and to grant my prayer, for which I and my daughters will remain ever grateful to you and to the Mysore State.

I remain,

Your Excellency,
Your humble petitioner,

J. Marlankar.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

Urgent

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No D 3785

To The Comptroller.

Dear Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

(: : II :)

the *193* .

I am desired to re-
quest that the marginally
noted file which was
sent to you on the 14.12.3
and 5th January 1932 may
kindly be returned very early with your
opinion.

Date of issue 29/1/32

Signed by K.P.S. Menon

Dy Secy

1) No. 7409/14.12.31 re Sale
of Mandalay affairs

2) No. 0209/8.1.32 re agree-
ment with the Burma
distillers & Sandeman re.

L/S.

27.1.32

Ofc for his appl

28/1 27.1.32

Issue KD 27.1.32

List of enclosures .....

Copied by ..... 28 JAN 1932

Examined by .....

Gen Bo Hill.

4.2.32

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

in the manner suggested by the Corrigendum and that we have to say to the Committee suggestion art. para 4 opinion

I agree 4

M 27.2.81

for others

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section 807
File No. 21-29
Serial No. 90

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. D 4347 Genl

To

The Trade Commrs.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter D 10.11.3 regarding the arrears of late Mr. Manlaradem in London, I am directed to forward herewith copy and note of the ~~Statement~~ of Examiner's ~~Note~~ D 13.2.3 on the subject for favour of remarks on the General ~~Suggestion of the Trade~~ and to request that ~~there~~ the Records may be asked to report whether action may be taken by them

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 1.3.32

Signed by Mr. M.S.P.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

in the manner suggested by
the Comptroller and Auditor
He has to say to the Committee
suggestions at para 4 above
I agree 4
M 27.2.81
for others

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone :
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams :
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

4283 of 31

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

23rd February, 1932.

DEVELOPMENT SECRET... 14 MAR. 1932

D 3436

GEN. ... 14 ... 32 ...

DEVELOPMENT BRANCH
OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
22 MAR. 1932
No. 7905

The Director,
Sandalwood Oil Factory,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

K.B.MAVLANKAR, dcsd.

Sabd-for perusal MS. 14/3 (1st instalment)

Replying to your letter No.2451, dated the 10th December, I enclose herewith copy of a letter from Messrs. Little & Co. of Bombay, addressed to Blundell Baker & Co., in regard to the matter already reported to you.

14/3/32

Yours faithfully,

(sd) C.Ranganatha Rao Sahib.

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Copy forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Development Department, Bangalore.

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

To Secy: for kind note and return - KB. 16.3.32

For perusal

Secy)

GENERAL SECRETARY
16 MAR. 1932
No. 6120

Dewbsr)

DS 16/3

DS 20/3

Dev. Dept. 1st Member

Dewbsr 19/3 23/3

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(II)

the 193 .

Date of issue 29/1/32

Signed by K.P.S. Menon
Asst

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Urgent

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No D 3785

To The Comptroller.

Dear Sir,

I am desired by the Govt. of India to request that the marginally noted papers which were sent to you on the 14.12.31 and 5th January 1932 may kindly be returned very early with your opinion.

1) No. 749/14.12.31 re Late Mr. Manchanda's affairs

2) No. 029/8.1.32 re agreement with the Kapurthala distillers of Sandhar.

L/S.

29.1.32

Offr for appl

28/1/32

27.1.32

List of enclosures .....

27-1-32

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Jos P. Hill.

4.2.32

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

COPY.

Central Bank Buildings,
Esplanade Road,
BOMBAY.

5th February, 1932.

No.L/NGD 1880/32.

Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co.,
Solicitors,
16, Serjeants Inn,
Fleet Street, E.C.4.

Dear Sirs,

Re: Estate of K.B.MAVLANKAR deceased.

We duly received your letter of the 8th ultimo together with its enclosures for which we are obliged.

After more than one reminder Messrs. M.A. T.Acharya & Co., Bangalore sent to us on the 8th December last a statement of accounts similar to those sent by you to us with your above letter. By our letter of the 23rd idem we instructed the firm to dispose of the goods as far as possible at the best price obtainable and to account for the sale proceeds to us. From the copy letter dated 7th ultimo from the Receiver to your Mr. Blundell, we find that the Receiver desires that we should instruct Messrs. M.A.T.Acharya & Co., to sell the remaining stocks in their possession by auction. We hope to receive the grant of Letters of Administration within a week when we shall carry out the instructions of the Receiver. We also propose to sell by auction the remaining goods which are lying with Messrs. K.H.Dhamdhare & Co., Bombay and we will report to you the progress when we are in a position to do so.

We informed you by our letter of the 4th September last that a Solicitor in Bombay who was instructed by the deceased to prepare a memorandum and articles of Association of the proposed company to be opened by the deceased, has submitted to us his bill for Rs.894-2-0. This bill we have been able to settle for Rs.550/-.

The question has to be settled whether the Indian creditors of the deceased are entitled to receive payment in full of their claims out of the Indian Assets or whether both the estates viz: Indian and English assets, should be amalgamated and pay the creditors of the deceased rateably. The Solicitor who sent us his bill contends that each estate must be considered separately and accordingly the Indian Creditors must be paid fully out of the Indian Estate. Since we are unable to find any very clear authority on the point we are of opinion that the Judge's directions must be obtained on the question. In due course we will take such directions and will let you know the result thereof. Our own view is that in the case of persons of Indian or English domicile, whether in Bankruptcy or in the winding up of an Estate, the proper rule is that a creditor desiring to claim in one country must bring into account anything he has received out of moveable property in the other country. On doing this he should be entitled to rateable distribution.

Yours faithfully,

Little & Co.

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone :
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams :
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

6693

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

15th April, 1932.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT 27 APR. 1932 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

By air mail.

D 4096

GEN. & DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT 27 APR. 1932

DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

The Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Tc. 21-29-90 Secretariat. 4075

With reference to your letter No. D.4347, dated 1st March, 1932, I enclose copies of letters received from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., as well as the notes prepared by the auditor dealing with all the points referred to by the Comptroller in the enclosure to your letter under reply.

With reference to the observations of Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., in the second paragraph of their letter regarding the possible misappropriation by Mr. Mavlankar during the period from December, 1928, to March, 1930, Mr. Rice is unable to state anything further than that to his knowledge there was no transference of assets from the plant at Yiewsley to his private account. As you notice, the auditor in his remarks says that there may have been some transference in that the accounts show no reference to the Indian estate which was subsequently found out.

The Auditor's report does not deal with the West Drayton House, and I have requested Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., to kindly address Mrs. Mavlankar in regard to the source of funds from which the West Drayton House was purchased, and shall write to you on the matter in due course.

The Auditor has dealt with all the other items raised with the Comptroller fully, and I have no observations to make on them. I may invite your attention to my letters to the Director of Sandalwood Oil Factories, copies of which were sent to you, namely, those dated 30th November, 1931, 3rd December, 1931, 9th December, 1931, in regard to the funds available with the auditor in respect of the sales of Mica made by him and the jewels deposited in Messrs. Coutts & Co., in the joint names of Mr. Rice and Mr. Mavlankar. I request that the action taken on my letters may kindly be communicated to me.

If there is any further information you require from me in regard to this matter kindly advise me.

Yours faithfully,

For perusal.
The connected file will be sent later with the case
MG
27/4

Ramaswamy Mudaliar

The Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

1st Minute)

27/4 28/4 28/4/32 28/4 28/4/32

Copy of letter from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co. to Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

Dear Sir,

K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

Referring to your letter of the 22nd. of March, ult, enclosing a note prepared by the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, we submitted a copy of the note to the Receiver and on the 25th ult, received from him a letter dated the 24th ult, with his observations upon the Comptroller's note. We send you a copy of the Receiver's letter which deals with various aspects of the note.

With regard to Paragraph 2 of the note, we would point out that soon after December 1928, Mr. H.D. Rice began on behalf of the Government to keepa as careful a watch as possible upon all of Mr. Mavlankar's transactions and we do not oursleves think that from December 1928 to March 1930 any material portion of the assets of the business were misappropriated by Mr. Mavlankar or his relatives and we think that Mr. Rice will confirm this statement if you refer to him. At this time, Mr. Mavlankar's sole idea was to make restitution to the Government.

With regard to Paragraph 5 (d) of the Comptroller's note, we notice that the Receiver does not deal with the West Drayton House in his report. We have always understood ourselves that this was of small value and it stood in Mrs. Mavlankar's name, but we do not know where she got the monet from.

We think with these observations all points in the Comptroller's note are covered.

We are Sir,

Yours faithfully,

Blundell, Baker & Co.

15.4.32

Copy of letter from Armitage Norton Boyce & Co., to

Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co.,

Dear Sirs,

K.B. Mavlankar, Decd.

I thank you for your letter of the 23rd. inst., enclosing copy of letter received from the Controller of the Government of Mysore.

I have naturally no correspondence in this case prior to the date of my appointment, as before that date I was not concerned in this affair.

I understand that Mr. Blundell can give some information on question 2 of the notes of the Controller, but as you know the books of this Estate were not kept very well in the past and therefore it is impossible for me to say whether or not any of the assets were transferred out of the business or otherwise appropriated to Mr. Mavlankar during the period December 1928 to March 1930, but it would appear that certain assets were transferred in that the Estate in India does not appear through the records kept by Mavlankar at Yiewsley.

As you know, immediately it was discovered that certain assets were in India, throught the investigation after the Bobin troubles commenced, steps were taken to collect and deal with the Indian Estate and in spite of examination of all parties whom I thought would be able to give information as to assets, no further assets have been traced. It is, of course, impossible that certain transactions had taken place and in this connection I call your attention to the Mica stocks, as to the ownership of which I am not clear at the present time.

The debt due from Khare was discovered in dealing with this Indian Estate and I quite agree that the promissory note has not yet been traced but as you know I made a personal visit to Mrs. Mavlankar and endeavoured to trace this note the day before her daughter sailed for India, and Messrs. Little and Co. in India have endeavoured to tracce this promissory note.

I am under the impression, however, that they have obtained the acknowledgement of the debt from Mr. Khare.

When the Redeiver took over the property at Yiewsley in March 1930 he made an inventory of the plant and machinery and also the stocks, and I was able to trace from this inventory exactly what plant had been removed by Bobin and Giannetto but no inventory appears to have been made prior to my taking over and I am therefore unable to say whether Mavlankar had removed machinery without recording this through his books.

The house in Merton Road was sold to Mrs. Mavlankar for £1200 and the other house in Revelstoke Road was sold and the proceeds paid into Court.

With regard to question 5D in thenotes of the Controller I agree that it is quite likely that monies misappropriated may have been converted by Mr. Mavlankar to his own use, but at present it appears that Mrss Mavlankar is entirely dependent upon the letting of her house to Indian Students as paying guests and it would not appear that any large sums have been used as a maintenance provision for his family. In any event I am unable to trace from such accounts as were kept by Mavlankar any such maintenance provision; in fact I am now notified that Mrs. Mavlankar has removed from the house in Merton Road into smaller premises and has let the house in question to an Indian family.

With regard to the Balance Sheets of this concern as at the 30th December 1928 and later dates, these, as you know, were certified by Mr. C.L.H. Martin a Corporate Accountant and my representative had on more than one occasion been shown stock sheets by Bobin for various years in which it appears there is no doubt that the stock was deliberately overvalued withe the object, presumabley, of presenting a reasonable Balance Sheet to the Government of Mysore.

Dealing with the question of the large differences as shown in the Balance Sheet of December 1928 and my figures at March 1930 I would call your attention to the fact that the buildings shown at £5887 in the Balance Sheet at December 1928 were grossly over-valued and in fact the buildings at Yiewsley were in such a bad state of repair that certain claims had to be admitted against this estate when

the leases of Yiewsley were disclaimed although the Landlords took over the additions built by Mavlankar. Incidentally the private properties were considerably over-valued and in fact only appeared in part in the Balance Sheet.

Dealing with the question of fixtures, plant and stock, I would call your attention to the fact that the plant figure of £10,930 in 1928 was the probably cost value of the whole of the plant including certain special plant brought from Italy for the manufacture and distillation of essential oils. This plant had been very badly used and my valuer stated that this plant at the time I took over was not worth £200,

Dealing with the question of stock I would call your attention to the fact that in the Affidavit for probate this was valued at £30,000 and when I called upon Bobin to made a new stock summary on the date of my appointment he valued the same stock at £15,000; not being satisfied I called in Mr. E.J. Parry, the expert in this trade and he checked the stock in question and valued this at the them market value of a sum of approximately £7000 stating that unless the essential oils were realized almost immediately his valuation would require considerable amendment as the stocks of essential oils are essentially seasonal and soon lose their flavours and become very terpentiny, making it almost impossible to produce the best quality of oil known as terpineless.

As you know it eventually became necessary to deal with these stocks andother property following the misappropriation of certain stocks by Bobin and Giannetto. There is no doubt that the stocks have been systematically over-valued for a considerable period and in many cases this over-valuation has consisted of nearly 100% over-valuation.

Dealing with the question of motor cars, vans and debtors, a number of the debts proved impossible to collect, and certain others were not trueddebtors to the Estate in that they held stocks on consignment and not stocks by actual sale. These stocks on consignment have been left with the consignees for some considerable period and the value of the stocks had depreciated considerably.

In my opinion the larger differences between the figures at December 1930 are mainly accounted for by gross-over-valuation of assets, which it is possible was done with the object of deceiving the Government of Mysore and other creditors when the accounts were prepared for submission to them and thus enabling Mavlankar to continue his Sandalwood Oil Agency.

If there is any further information I can give you with regard to the matter, please write me.

Yours faithfully,

Charles W. Boyce,

Receiver and Manager.

CWB

15/4/32

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone :
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams :
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
7 MAY 1932

GRAND . BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

15th April, 1932.

BY AIR MAIL.

The Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Copy No. 4096

21st April, 1932.
COPY BY SUBSEQUENT
ORDINARY MAIL.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D.4347, dated 1st March, 1932, I enclose copies of letters received from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., as well as the notes prepared by the auditor dealing with all the points referred to by the Comptroller in the enclosure to your letter under reply.

With reference to the observations of Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., in the second paragraph of their letter regarding the possible misappropriation by Mr. Mavlankar during the period from December, 1928, to March, 1930, Mr. Rice is unable to state anything further than that to his knowledge there was no transference of assets from the plant at Yiewsley to his private account. As you notice, the auditor in his remarks says that there may have been some transference in that the accounts show no reference to the Indian estate which was subsequently found out.

The Auditor's report does not deal with the West Drayton House, and I have requested Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., to kindly address Mrs. Mavlankar in regard to the source of funds from which the West Drayton House was purchased, and shall write to you on the matter in due course.

The Auditor has dealt with all the other items raised with the Comptroller fully, and I have no observations to make on them. I may invite your attention to my letters to the Director of Sandalwood Oil Factories, copies of which were sent to you, namely, those dated 30th November, 1931, 3rd December, 1931, 9th December, 1931, in regard to the funds available with the auditor in respect of the sales of Mica made by him and the jewels deposited in Messrs. Coutts & Co., in the joint names of Mr. Rice and Mr. Mavlankar. I request that the action taken on my letters may kindly be communicated to me.

If there is any further information you require from me in regard to this matter kindly advise me.

Yours faithfully;

C Rangamadha Rau

The Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Copy of letter from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co. to Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

Dear Sir,

K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

Referring to your letter of the 22nd. of March, ult, enclosing a note prepared by the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, we submitted a copy of the note to the Receiver and on the 25th ult, received from him a letter dated the 24th ult, with his observations upon the Comptroller's note. We send you a copy of the Receiver's letter which deals with various aspects of the note.

With regard to Paragraph 2 of the note, we would point out that soon after December 1928, Mr. H.D. Rice began on behalf of the Government to keepa as careful a watch as possible upon all of Mr. Mavlankar's transactions and we do not oursleves think that from December 1928 to March 1930 any material portion of the assets of the business were misappropriated by Mr. Mavlankar or his relatives and we think that Mr. Rice will confirm this statement if you refer to him. At this time, Mr. Mavlankar's sole idea was to make restitution to the Government.

With regard to Paragraph 5 (d) of the Comptroller's note, we notice that the Receiver does not deal with the West Drayton House in his report. We have always understood ourselves that this was of small value and it stood in Mrs. Mavlankar's name, but we do not know where she got the monet from.

We think with these observations all points in the Comptroller's note are covered.

We are Sir,

Yours faithfully,

Blundell, Baker & Co.

15.4.32

Copy of letter from Armitage Norton Boyce & Co., to

Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co.,

Dear Sirs,

K.B. Mavlankar, Decd.

I thank you for your letter of the 23rd. inst., enclosing copy of letter received from the Controller of the Government of Mysore.

I have naturally no correspondence in this case prior to the date of my appointment, as before that date I was not concerned in this affair.

I understand that Mr. Blundell can give some information on question 2 of the notes of the Controller, but asyyou know the books of this Estate were not kept very well in the past and therefore it is impossible for me to say whether or not any of the assets were transferred out of the business or otherwise appropriated to Mr. Mavlankar during the period December 1928 to March 1930, but it would appear that certain assets were transferred in that the Estate in India does not appear through the records kept by Mavlankar at Yiewsley.

As you know, immediately it was discovered that certain assets were in India, throught the investigation after the Bobin troubles commenced, steps were taken to collect and deal with the Indian Estate and in spite of examination of all parties whom I thought would be able to give information as to assets, no further assets have been traced. It is, of course, impossible that certain transactions had taken place and in this connection I call your attention to the Mica stocks, as to the ownership of which I am not clear at the present time.

The debt due from Khare was discovered in dealing with this Indian Estate and I quite agree that the promissory note has not yet been traced but as you know I made a personal visit to Mrs. Mavlankar and endeavoured to trace this note the day before her daughter sailed for India, and Messrs. Little and Co. in India have endeavoured to tracde this promissory note.

I am under the impression, however, that they have obtained the acknowledgement of the debt from Mr. Khare.

When the Redeiver took over the property at Yiewsley in March 1930 he made an inventory of the plant and machinery and also the stocks, and I was able to trace from this inventory exactly what plant had been removed by Bobin and Giannetto but no inventory appears to have been made prior to my taking over and I am therefore unable to say whether Mavlankar had removed machinery without recording this through his books.

The house in Merton Road was sold to Mrs. Mavlankar for £1200 and the other house in Revelstoke Road was sold and the proceeds paid into Court.

With regard to question 5D in thenotes of the Controller I agree that it is quite likely that monies misappropriated may have been converted by Mr. Mavlankar to his own use, but at present it appears that Mrss Mavlankar is entirely dependent upon the letting of her house to Indian Students as paying guests and it would not appear that any large sums have been used as a maintenance provision for his family. In any event I am unable to trace from such accounts as were kept by Mavlankar any such maintenance provision; in fact I am now notified that Mrs. Mavlankar has removed from the house in Merton Road into smaller premises and has let the house in question to an Indian family.

With regard to the Balance Sheets of this concern as at the 30th December 1928 and later dates, these, as you know, were certified by Mr. C.L.H. Martin a Corporate Accountant and my representative had on more than one occasion been shown stock sheets by Bobin for various years in which it appears there is no doubt that the stock was deliberately overvalued withe the object, presumabley, of presenting a reasonable Balance Sheet to the Government of Mysore.

Dealing with the question of the large differences as shown in the Balance Sheet of December 1928 and my figures at March 1930 I would call your attention to the fact that the buildings shown at £5887 in the Balance Sheet at December 1928 were grossly over-valued and in fact the buildings at Yiewsley were in such a bad state of repair that certain claims had to be admitted against this estate when

I am under the impression, however, that they have obtained the acknowledgement of the debt from Mr. Khare.

When the Redeiver took over the property at Yiewsley in March 1930 he made an inventory of the plant and machinery and also the stocks, and I was able to trace from this inventory exactly what plant had been removed by Bobin and Giannetto but no inventory appears to have been made prior to my taking over and I am therefore unable to say whether Mavlankar had removed machinery without recording this through his books.

The house in Merton Road was sold to Mrs. Mavlankar for £1200 and the other house in Revelstoke Road was sold and the proceeds paid into Court.

With regard to question 5D in thenotes of the Controller I agree that it is quite likely that monies misappropriated may have been converted by Mr. Mavlankar to his own use, but at present it appears that Mrss Mavlankar is entirely dependent upon the letting of her house to Indian Students as paying guests and it would not appear that any large sums have been used as a maintenance provision for his family. In any event I am unable to trace from such accounts as were kept by Mavlankar any such maintenance provision; in fact I am now notified that Mrs. Mavlankar has removed from the house in Merton Road into smaller premises and has let the house in question to an Indian family.

With regard to the Balance Sheets of this concern as at the 30th December 1928 and later dates, these, as you know, were certified by Mr. C.L.H. Martin a Corporate Accountant and my representative had on more than one occasion been shown stock sheets by Bobin for various years in which it appears there is no doubt that the stock was deliberately overvalued withe the object, presumabley, of presenting a reasonable Balance Sheet to the Government of Mysore.

Dealing with the question of the large differences as shown in the Balance Sheet of December 1928 and my figures at March 1930 I would call your attention to the fact that the buildings shown at £5887 in the Balance Sheet at December 1928 were grossly over-valued and in fact the buildings at Yiewsley were in such a bad state of repair that certain claims had to be admitted against this estate when

the leases of Yiewsley were disclaimed although the Landlords took over the additions built by Mavlankar. Incidentally the private properties were considerably over-valued and in fact only appeared in part in the Balance Sheet.

Dealing with the question of fixtures, plant and stock, I would call your attention to the fact that the plant figure of £10,930 in 1928 was the probably cost value of the whole of the plant including certain special plant brought from Italy for the manufacture and distillation of essential oils. This plant had been very badly used and my valuer stated that this plant at the time I took over was not worth £200,

Dealing with theqquestion of stock I would call your attention to the fact that in the Affidavit for probate this was valued at £30,000 and when I called upon Bobin to made a new stock summary on the date of my appointment he valued the same stock at £15,000; not being satisfied I called in Mr. E.J. Parry, the expert in this trade and he checked the stock in question and valued this at the them market value of a sum of approximately £7000 stating that unless the essential oils were realized almost immediately his valuation would require considerable amendment as the stocks of essential oils are essentially seasonal and soon lose their flavours and become very terpentiny, making it almost impossible to produce the best quality of oil known as terpineless.

As you know it eventually became necessary to deal with these stocks andother property following the misappropriation of certain stocks by Bobin and Giannetto. There is no doubt that the stocks have been systematically over-valued for a considerable period and in many cases this over-valuation has consisted of nearly 100% over-valuation.

Dealing with the question of motor cars, vans and debtors, a number of the debts proved impossible to collect, and certain others were not treaddebtors to the Estate in that they held stocks on consignment and not stocks by actual sale. These stocks on consignment have been left with the consignees for some considerable period and the value of the stocks had depreciated considerably.

In my opinion the larger differencesvbetween the figures at December 1930 are mainly accounted for by gross-over-valuation of assets, which it is possibler weme done with the object of deceiving the Government of Mysore and other creditors when the accounts were prepared for submission to them and thus enabling Mavlankar to continue his Sandalwood Oil Agency.

If there is any further information I can give you with regard to the matter, please write me.

Yours faithfully,

Charles W. Boyce,

Receiver and Manager.

CWB
15/4

D 4242

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

22nd April, 1932.

BY AIR MAIL.

...UNCIL
1932
402)

...SECRETARIAT.
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

For perusal.
Proposes satisfactory
(Mr Lumsden)

Dear Sir,

Further to my letter of the 15th April, I enclose herewith copy of a letter written by Messrs. Little & Co. to Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. dealing with the administration of the Indian assets.

Yours faithfully,

Ramaya Rao Sahib

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

D 4243

TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone :
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams :
MYSOF, WESTRAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

22nd April, 1932.



BY AIR MAIL.

For personal

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

(for information)

Dear Sir,

In continuation of my letter of the 15th April, forwarding a copy of the note by the Receiver relating to the Estate of the late Mr. K.B. Mavlankar, I enclose copy of a further letter which I promised relating to the West Drayton House.

In view of the remarks made by Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. in their letter, it does not appear that any further enquiry is necessary in regard to this House.

Yours faithfully,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Copy of letter from Little & Co., Bombay,
dated 1st April, 1932, to Blundell Baker & Co.

Re Estate of K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

In continuation of our letter to you of the 5th February last we beg to report that we have now realised the greater portion of the estate of the above named deceased.

The goods belonging to the deceased which were in possession of Messrs. K.H. Dhamdhare Bros. Bombay have been withdrawn from the firm and sold by public auction. Messrs. Dhamdhare Bros. were able to sell the goods of the value of Rs.142.8.0. and we have received the sale proceeds less their commission and the rent for storing the goods up to the date of delivery to us. The remaining stock was sold by public auction at Rs.450/-. On account of the present trade depression and on account of the condition of the goods, which were rather old, we are of opinion that the price we realised was a fair one.

As regards the goods with Messrs. M.A.T. Acharya & Co. Bangalore, we asked this firm whether they would be able to sell the goods by auction but they have not replied to our letter.

We have therefore sent them peremptory instructions to send the remaining stock to Bombay by Goods train, carefully packed, and as soon as it is received we will arrange to dispose of it by public auction.

As regards the amount due by the estate of Mr. Khare, we have succeeded in recovering a sum of Rs.2000/- from him in part payment. Mr. Khare has promised to pay the balance within a month.

With regard to the payment to the creditors, as mentioned in our letter of the 5th February last we are taking the directions of the Court on the question and the necessary papers are nearly ready to be filed in Court.

We will send you a statement of account in due course.

Copy/

16, Serjeant's Inn,

Fleet Street, E.C.4.

AHB/W

21st April, 1932.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 15th April anent the purchaser of the West Drayton House. This house we think was known as The Mount, Yiewsley, Middlesex. The property was purchased we understand by Mrs. Mavlankar at some time fairly early in 1928 and the only sum she paid was we believe £50, the rest of the moneys being borrowed from a Building Society. The Receiver has seen the Passbook from the Building Society and satisfied himself that the money was actually advanced by the Building Society.

We believe that Mrs. Mavlankar sold the house about 18 months ago and we should imagine that she probably lost on the transaction.

At any rate so far as the Government of Mysore is concerned seeing that the amount Mrs. Mavlankar paid for the house was we believe only £50 as above stated it would hardly appear worth while pursuing the matter further.

We are, Sir,

Yours faithfully,

(SGD.)

The Trade Commissioner,
for Mysore in London.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.
Telegrams:
MYSORE, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

File No. 8532
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
DEVELOPMENT SECT.
27. JUN. 1932
GEN. & REV. SECTT. MARIAT
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

9th June, 1932.

5019

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore,
Development Department,
Public Offices,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

With reference to correspondence in connexion with the late K. B. Mavlankar estate, I enclose herewith copy of letter dated 20th May from Messrs. Little & Co., Bombay, to Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. in regard to the repayment of the sum of Rs.2,000.~~00~~ from Mr. Khare, and also in connexion with the sale of the stocks held by Messrs. Acharya.

Yours faithfully,

Subd for perusal.
27.6

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib
THE TRADE COMMISSIONER FOR
MYSORE IN LONDON.

(Sr member)

Copy/

Central Bank Buildings,
Esplanade Road,
BOMBAY .

Little & Co.

20th May, 1932.

No. L/NGD.6844/32.

Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co.,
Solicitors,
16, Serjeants Inn,
Fleet Street, E.C.4.
(England).

Dear Sirs,

re K. B. Mavlankar, deceased.

We beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 26th ultimo together with the enclosures as stated therein for which we thank you. We hope the papers sent by you will serve our purpose but in case we require any information we will write to you again.

With further reference to our letter to you of the 1st ultimo we beg to report that Mr. Khare has paid to us the balance of Rs.2000/- due by him to the estate.

As regards the goods in possession of Messrs. M. A. T. Acharya Bangalore, this firm forwarded all the goods to us by Rail and on receipt thereof we instructed the auctioneers to sell them by public auction. The auction was held on the 4th instant but for the want of adequate offers the auctioneers had to withdraw the goods from the auction. The auctioneers subsequently informed us that they had received an offer to the extent of Rs.800/- for the goods and enquired whether they should sell at that price. Owing to the general trade depression in India and owing to the recent disturbances in Bombay the auctioneers may not even obtain the above offer today. However

we have asked them to sell the goods at the best price obtainable so soon as the market area returns to fairly normal condition.

We will write to you again when we have to report any progress of this matter.

Yours faithfully,

LITTLE & CO.

CRr

9.632

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the . *193*

Date of issue 21/7/32.

Signed by Mr. M.S.A

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

. Section

. File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. D. 303

To

The Trade Commr

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter dated 9th June 1932 regarding the recovery of dues from the estate of late Mr Mavlankar I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish a clear report explaining the present position in regard to Mavlankar's affairs with reference to amount due from him, the amount recovered so far, charges payable like Receiver, balance yet to be recovered &c.

I have &c

WD 71—GRPM—40,000—20-7-31.

RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

9th September, 1932.

BY AIR MAIL.

DEVELOPMENT SEC
21 SEP
1107
GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

Referring to your letter No.D.303, of the 21st July, requesting the submission of a clear report explaining the present position in regard to Mavlankar's affairs, I submit, for the information of Government, copy of a report prepared by the Solicitors on the present position of the Estate. This report brings the proceedings up to date in continuation of their last resume up to the 13th October, 1931, which was submitted in my letter to you dated the 15th October, 1931.

As the resume of the Solicitors did not contain information in regard to the actual liabilities of the Estate, the amount due to the Mysore Government and the charges payable to the Receiver and others, I requested the Solicitors to furnish information on these points also. They have since written to me on the subject and a copy of their letter dated the 2nd September is also enclosed.

I trust the matter is now fully clear, but if there should be any further point on which information is desired, I shall be glad to obtain it.

Yours faithfully,
C Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

BLUNDEL,BAKER & CO.

16 Serjeant's Inn.
Fleet Street. E.C.4.

AHB/W

2nd September 1932.

Dear Sir,

K.B. Mavlankar Decd.

In reply to your letter of the 30th August.

1. & 2. Actual liabilities and Mysore Government Claim.

The actual liabilities will be finally determined when the Chancery Masters Certificate is made. But the Certificate cannot go until -

(a) it is decided whether the Indian Creditors are to be paid out of the Indian Assets or rank here

(b) The exact position with regard to the "Mica" assets and liabilities is determined.

Subject to the above the claims so far settled over here amount to £38606 of which the claim of the Government amounts to £32391. 14. 5.

4. Charges remuneration etc.

The Receivers remuneration so far has been fixed at £1200 and this sum has been already paid him. The Master may award him some further small sum.

The Defendant (Mr. Mavlankar's Widow) is not now represented in the proceedings but her Solicitors costs of taking out administration and of appearing in the proceedings will have to be taxed and paid out of the assets as well as our charges as representing the Government. It is impossible to say what the total of these respective charges will be but we estimate them at between £1000 and £1200.

Generally. We adhere to our estimate given before that the Creditors of Mr. Mavlankar over here including the Government

will receive from the Administration of his estate 2/6 in the £ on their debts. The matter is really at a standstill over here pending the complete dealing with the Indian Estate referred to in our former reports.

We remain dear Sir,
Yours faithfully,

(Sgnd) Blundell,Baker & Co.

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

CAr
9.9.32

re K. B. Mavlankar, decd.

Copy

FURTHER RESUMÉ of PROCEEDINGS
AND REPORT ON PRESENT POSITION
OF ACTION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE.

Blundell Baker & Co.,
16, Serjeants Inn,
Fleet Street, E.C.4.

To: The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London,

Grand Buildings,

Trafalgar Square, W.C.

Dear Sir,

re K. B. Mavlankar, decd.

Resumé of Proceedings and Report on present position of action to administer Estate since 19th October, 1931.

1. The Administration of the Estate in England was at the date of the last Resumé up to 19th. October, 1931 complete and the whole Estate realised by the Receiver, except as appears later in this Report.

The outstanding points which have delayed the closing of the Estate have been (a) the Indian Estate (b) a debt due to the English Estate from Mr. T. P. Khare, Deceased's former partner in Bombay (c) the sale of some goods in the possession of M.A.T. Acharya & Co. Merchants of Bangalore and (d) the determination of the ownership of some mica consigned to the deceased for sale in England.

(a) The Indian Estate.

The correspondence with Messrs. Little of Bombay who are acting as attorneys in the winding up of the Indian assets, copy of which you have had, shows that the administration in India has experienced unforeseen difficulties which are slowly being solved. It will be seen that at present the only outstanding question holding up the completion of the administration in India is the application to the Indian Courts to decide whether the creditors of the deceased in India are entitled to be paid their claims in full out of the Indian assets or whether both the Indian and English Estates should be amalgamated and the creditors of each paid rateably. As soon as this decision has been made the administration will be closed and the balance after payment of costs remitted to England.

(b) The debt due from Mr. Khare has been paid to Messrs. Little in full.

(c) The sale of the goods in possession of M. A. T. Acharya & Co. has been delayed through general, trade depression and the disturbance in Bombay. It is probable that since their last letter of 20th May, 1932, Messrs. Little have completed the sale.

(d) Mica.

Consignments of mica the ownership of which is still in doubt, were made to the deceased between 1926 and 1928 and were being sold by him as and when occasion offered. At the date of the Receiver's Appointment sales of mica had realised £991. 14. 0d., but this sum had been appropriated by the deceased in his business. Since his appointment the Receiver has endeavoured to sell the remaining mica, and sales have amounted to £182. 12. 7d. A separate account in respect of this is being kept and Government has been requested to ask the Bangalore Court to make an Order so that the proceeds of sale can be included as part of the deceased's estate subject to any claim by the Trade Commissioner on behalf of B. Sitaramachar whose name has been put forward as the possible owner of the mica in question.

2. The amount of deceased's Estate so far recovered in England has been paid into Court and consists at the present date (See 3 Certificates of Fund sent herewith) of the following securities and cash:-

	Nominal Value	Approximate Market Value
2½% Consols	1,261. 12. 1 @ 72	£908. 7. 1
5% War Loan - assented ...	1,797. 11.11 @ 99	1779.12. 5
3% Local Loans	4,191. 6. 5 @ 84	3520.14. 2
Cash and money on deposit ...	4196.9.	115.10. 3
	Approximate total	£ 6324. 3.11

This sum is subject to deduction of costs of administration t

be taxed and also to £242. 12. 11d. found due to the Receiver on his last account. £235. of this represents a payment which the Receiver had to make in respect of excise duty on methyl alcohol included in the stock sold. The balance represents part of the costs of passing the Fourth Account of the Receiver. No additional costs beyond the cost of the Receiver's half yearly accounts are being incurred in the Proceedings and as soon as an Account of the Indian Administration is received it will be possible to close the administration in England, tax the costs and apportion and distribute the balance amongst the creditors.

BLUNDELL BAKER & CO.
16, Serjeants Inn, Fleet Street, E.C.4.
22nd. August, 1932.

COPY.

Certificate of Funds in Court.

With Restraints or Charges thereon.

Dn. 3 Dn.

Ledger)
Credit)
20327.)

re MAVLANKAR. THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR

1930 M. 934.

Supreme Court Pay Office,
Royal Courts of Justice, W.C.2. 19th August, 1932

At the request of Blundell Baker & Co. it is hereby certified that the funds remaining to the above ledger credit in the books of this Office are as under, viz:-

Cash	£5. 15. 4
Assented War Stock (5 p.c.) 1929/47	576. 14. 1
Local Loans (3%) Stock	4196. 6. 5

(Signed) F. BLACKMORE.

Particulars of Restraining or Charging Orders affecting the Funds specified.

Inland Revenue Stop for Income Tax.

(Signed) F. BLACKMORE.

5-15-4
12-4-2
20-0-9
38-0-3
77-10
115-10-3

COPY.

Certificate of Funds in Court.

With Restraints or Charges Thereon.

Dn. 3 Dn.

Ledger)
Credit)
20331.)

Re MAVLANKAR, deceased. THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR

1930 M. 934

PROCEEDS OF SALE OF TESTATOR'S ESTATE.

Supreme Court Pay Office,

Royal Courts of Justice W.C.2., 19th August, 1932.

At the request of Blindell Baker & Co. it is hereby certified that the funds remaining to the above ledger credit in the books of this office are as under, viz:-

Cash	£12. 4. 2
Assented War Stock (5 p.c.) 1929/47	1220. 17. 10

(Signed) F. BLACKMORE.

Particulars of Restraining or Charging Orders affecting the Funds specified.

Inland Revenue Stop for Income Tax.

(Signed) F. BLACKMORE.

COPY.

CERTIFICATE OF FUNDS IN COURT.

Dn. 3 Div.

Ledger)
Credit.)
20323.)

re KESHAR BELKRISHNA MAVLANKAR, deceased.

THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT v. MAVLANKAR.

1930 M. 934.

SUPREME COURT PAY OFFICE

ROYAL COURTS OF JUSTICE, W.C.2. 19th August, 1932.

At the request of Blundell Baker & Co. it is hereby certified that the funds standing to the above ledger credit in the books of this Office are as under, viz:

Cash	£20.	0.	9
Money on deposit ...	77.	10.	0
2½% Consols	1261.	12.	1

(Signed) F. BLACKMORE.

TELEGRAMS: "SANDAL," BANGALORE

MR. N. RAMA RAO, B.A., B.L.
DIRECTOR OF SANDALWOOD OIL FACTORY IN MYSORE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated the 22nd Sept: 1932.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
23. SEP. 1932
D. O. No. 1455

Asst Sec

Dear Sir,

I have to refer you to Trade Commissioner's Air Mail letter dated 9th September 1932, copy of which I received during this week without a copy of the Solicitor's report referred to therein. I shall be obliged if you will kindly send me a copy of it for my information.

Yours sincerely,

N. Rama Rao

D. Srinivasaiengar Esq., B.A.,
Development Secretary.

TELEGRAMS: "SANDAL," BANGALORE

MR. N. RAMA RAO, B.A., B.L.
DIRECTOR OF SANDALWOOD OIL FACTORY IN MYSORE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated the 22nd Sept: 1932.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
23. SEP. 1932
D. O. No. 1455/

Asst Sec

Dear Sir,

I have to refer you to Trade Commissioner's Air Mail letter dated 9th September 1932, copy of which I received during this week without a copy of the Solicitor's report referred to therein. I shall be obliged if you will kindly send me a copy of it for my information.

Yours sincerely,

N. Rama Rao

D. Srinivasaiengar Esq., B.A.,
Development Secretary.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone;
WHITEHALL 8888.
Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

6th October, 1932.

DEVELOPMENT SECTION
24 OCT. 1
Encl

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Development Department.
BANGALORE.

1436
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Dear Sir:

In continuation of my letter dated the 9th September, I submit, for your information, copy of letter dated the 28th September received from Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co., together with the enclosures, in original, received from the firm.

As stated in their letter, Messrs. Blundell Baker requested me to interview them in connection with the points raised by Messrs. Little & Co., of Bombay in their letter dated the 17th September, a copy of which is enclosed herewith.

The Solicitors were of opinion that it would involve interminable delays if the issues raised by Messrs. Little & Co., a copy of whose letter is enclosed, as to which of the debts due by the late Mr. Mavlankar in India and payable from his Indian Assets should be considered to have preferential claims and which not so entitled and suggested, in order to arrive at as speedy settlement of this question as possible, power may be given to Little & Co., authorising them to settle Indian claims from Indian Assets on the best possible terms. The Receiver, who was present at

the interview, agreed with the proposal and I also accepted it as it seemed the only method of getting the estate wound up here and a final Report submitted to the Court. A cablegram giving this authority was sent by the Solicitors with the approval of the Receiver to Messrs. Little & Co., My approval was taken as representing the largest creditor of the estate in this country.

I request the approval of Government to the action taken by me.

Yours faithfully,

C Ramgamalna Rao Saheb
Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Copy of letter from Blundell Baker & Co., dated 28th September, 1932, to Trade Commissioner for Mysore.

K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

With reference to our interview to-day with yourself and the Receiver, for the information of your Government we send you a copy of Messrs. Little & Co's. letter to us of the 17th September, and of the various documents which accompanied it and we also send you a copy of Messrs. Soonderdas Dwarkadas & Co's. letter to the Receiver of the 14th September. The documents referred to in the above letter of the 14th September to the Receiver are practically the same as those which accompanied Messrs. Little's letter to us of the 17th September, and so we do not need to duplicate these in sending this to you.

Following our interview, we have sent the following cable to Messrs. Little & Co. to-day, in Bombay:-

Legal Bombay
Mavlankar Your letter seventeenth September authorise you settle Indian claims from Indian assets best terms possible. Very desirous close Estate quickly.
Blundell.

LITTLE & CO

Central Bank Building,
Esplanade Road,
Bombay

AIR MAIL

17th September, 1932

No.L/GAG 12689/32

Messrs.Blundell Baker & Co.,
Solicitors,
16 Serjeants Inn,
Fleet Street,E.C.4.
(ENGLAND)

Dear Sirs,

K.B.Mavlankar,decd.

With reference to your letter of the 31st ultimo we took out the originating summons a copy of which we enclose and the date of the hearing thereof was fixed for the 12th instant. However, attorneys for the Defendants Nos. 1,4 and 5 have written to us enquiring whether the Receiver will be prepared to pay in full,subject to proof, claims of the creditors which became due after the date of the death of the deceased and which were incurred under the instruction from and authority of the widow and the executrix of the deceased. We enclose for your information a copy of the letter dated 1st inst., received by us from the Solicitors and we shall be obliged if you will send us the Receiver's instructions thereon by return of the Air Mail. The hearing of the originating Summons has been in the meantime postponed to the 10th prox.

From the materials in our possession it is very difficult for us to apportion the debts due prior and up to the date of the death of the deceased and the debts which became due after the death and it is not easy to ascertain which portion (if any) is to be treated as preferential debt and what not.

For your information therefore we also enclose the statements of account showing, to the best of our ability, the amounts due to the creditors showing the debt up to the date of the death of the deceased and the amounts which became due thereafter.

With regard to the goods received from Bangalore the auctioneers have been able to sell the same at Rs.800/- and we have received the sale proceeds less the Railway freight for bringing the goods to Bombay, paid by the auctioneers, and their commission. This completes the realisation of the Estate.

Yours faithfully,

Little & Co.

Encls.

P.

Re.Estate of Keshav Balkrishna Mavlankar deceased

Originating Summons

Suit No. 1176 of 1932

C.M.Eastley

vs

Rao Bahadur N.K.Kelkar & Ors.

Amounts due to the creditors of K.B.Mavlankar deceased which became due after the date of the death of the deceased

---

			Rs.	as.	ps.
1st March 1930	Amount advanced by Rao Bahadur N.K. Kelkar the 1st Defendant to the Bombay Shop of the deceased		40-	0-	0
16th May, 1930	do.	do.	70-	0-	0
15th June 1930	do.	do.	100-	0-	0
		Total claim	210-	0-	0
15th April 1932	Amount advanced by Mr.A.B.Mavlankar the 2nd Defendant to the Bombay Shop of the deceased		75-	0-	0
31st July 1930	do.	do.	156-	4-	
	Amount of expenses incurred by Mr. A.B.Mavlankar for religious ceremonies regarding the deceased		250-	0-	0
		Total claim Rs.	481-	4-	0

Amount

	Rs.	as.	ps.
Amount due to Mr.R.S.Gole the 3rd Defendant being his salary from 1-2-30 to 31-3-30 @ Rs.150/- p.m. less amount advanced to him	66-	0-	6
Amount due to Mr.M.K.Lele the 4th Defendant being his salary from 1-2-30 to 31-7-30 @ Rs.150/- p.m. less amounts advanced to him	482-	0-	0
	548-	0-	0

Re.Estate of Keshav Balkrishna Mavlankar deceased

Originating Summons

Suit No. 1176 of 1932

C.M.Eastley

vs

Rao Bahadur N.K.Kelkar & Others

Amount due to the creditors of Mr.K.B.Mavlankar deceased incurred up to the date of the deceased.

	Rs.	as.	ps.
1. Amount due to Mr.R.S.Gole the 3rd Defendant for his salary from 1-12-29 to 31-1-30 @ Rs.150/- p.m.	300-	0-	0
2. Amount due to Mr.M.K.Lele the 4th Defendant for his salary from 1-11-29 to 31-1-30 @ Rs. 150/- p.m.	450-	0-	0
3. Amount due to Mr.K.K.Vaidya the 5th Defendant for professional charges due by the deceased (Bill of Costs for Rs. 894-2-0 settled by Messrs.Little & Co. at Rs. 550/-	550-	0-	0
Total Rs.	1300-	0-	0

42 Hamam Street,

Bombay

1st September, 1932

Messrs.Little & Co.,

Dear Sirs,

Without Prejudice

Re. Estate of K.B.Mavlankar deceased

C.M.Eastley

vs

Rao Bahadur N.K.Kelkar and ors

With reference to the above Originating Summons our clients have instructed us to make the following suggestion to your client without prejudice to the strict rights and contentions of our clients herein.

Although the answers to questions Nos. 1 and 2 in the Originating Summons are a matter of doubt, our clients are advised that the answer to question No. 3 must be that the creditors in England and other places outside British India will have to prove their claims before the Plaintiff in due course and the plaintiff is not entitled and the Court has no authority to allow claims proved in England as proved in British India. In such a case thereforé the large number of creditors in England with their total claims of about £40000 will have to incur an amount of expense in British India for proving their claims and these costs will not be payable out of the estate. Even if they are payable they will be simply added to their claims so that even if the English creditors are held as entitled to rank for rateable distribution in India they will practically stand to lose 9/10th of their actual costs of proving the claims in India. These costs will not in the aggregate be less than the total amount due to the Indian Creditors for their claims incurred

prior to the death of the deceased. It is highly probably that the costs of the English creditors for proving their claims here will far exceed the amount due to the Indian Creditors for their above claims and we have already pointed out to you that the Indian claims incurred under instructions from the executrix after the death of the deceased have in any event to be paid in full.

We therefore suggest that your Client should try to obtain the consent of the Receiver in England as representing the entire body of English creditors to pay the Indian claims in full so that the balance may be remitted by your client to the Receiver without requiring proof of the English claims.The Receiver in England may perhaps require an order of the Court in England to consent to the above proposal and pending the decision of the Receiver the present Originating Summons may be adjourned.

You can expedite matters by writing to the Receiver by the Air Mail. If the Receiver consents and still your client feels that an Order from this Court should be obtained, the same can be done by making the Receiver a party defendant to the Originating Summons as representing the English Creditors and obtaining a consent order from the Court.

Please let us know what your Client proposes to do.

Yours faithfully,

(sgd) K.K.Vaidya

For Soonderdas Dwarkadas & Co.

SOCNDERDAS DWARKADAS & CO

Solicitors

42 Hamam Street,

Bombay

14th September, 1932

No. 4186/

To Charles W.Boyce,Esq.,
Receiver of the Estate of K.B.Mavlankar Decd
c/o Messrs.Armitage Norton Boyce & Co.,
Chartered Accountants,
25-31 Moorgate, London,E.C.2.

Dear Sir,

Re.Estateof K.B.Mavlankar deceased
Re.Bombay High Court Originating Summons
Suit No. 1176 of 1932
C.M.Eastley
vs
Rao Bahadur N.K.Kelkar & Ors.

You are aware that Messrs.Little & Co., Solicitors, Bombay, have taken out the above Originating Summons for directions. We represent defendants Nos. 1,4 and 5 to the said Summons. Our Clients understand that the remaining Defendants will also soon approach us for enabling us to represent all the Defendants.

We send to you herewith for ready reference a copy of the three questions raised in the Originating Summons together with copies of our letters addressed to Messrs.Little & Co., on the 29th August and 1st instant. The statement of claims of the Defendants incurred prior to the death and subsequent to the death of Mr.Mavlankar is not yet received by us from them but from our clients information we say that the total of the claims incurred prior to the death will be about Rs.1000/- due to the Defendants 3,4 and 5 only; while the claims incurred subsequent to the death will total to a sum of Rs. 1500/- due to the Defendants 1,2,3 and 4. Our Clients contention is that claims incurred subsequent to the death of the deceased in carrying on the Bombay Office under instructions of the executrix have to be paid in full just as the expenses of carrying on the

business in England had been paid in full. Messrs.Little & Co. have not yet replies to this contention.

As regards the claims incurred prior to the death of the deceased we made a suggestion to Messrs.Little & Co., without prejudice in our letter to them dated 1st inst., that for the reasons stated by us in the said letter they should communicate with you with a view to obtain if possible your consent with the sanction of the Court in England if necessary to pay the claims of the Indian Creditors in full. We have pointed out in our said letter and we again point out to you that even if the creditors in England are held as entitled to claim rateably in the Indian Estate they will have to prove their claims in India in due course. The claims proved in England cannot be taken as proved in India. In England where the creditors all over the world are entitled to rateable distribution the practice is to require proper proof of the claims in England and claims proved abroad are not taken as proved in England. This will be so a fortiori if the foreign claim is not a claim under a decree of the Court. Even under the English Law a foreign decree holder is treated as a simple contract creditor.

Under the circumstances the English creditors will have at any rate to incur the costs of proving their claims in India. Looking to the large number of creditors and the large amount due to them these costs will far exceed the total claims of the Indian Creditors. The costs of proof of debt are not allowed from the estate and the result is that the English Creditors will stand to lose by having to prove their claims here. If you as representing the English Creditors will agree to pay the claims of the Indian Creditors in full the balance of the estate will be sent to you without requiring the English Creditorsto prove their claims here. This will save both expense and time involved in proving the claims in India. Messrs.

Little & Co. seem to be inclined to have the matter decided by the Court once for all. But if a reasonable solution of the difficulties can be found out of Court the parties should not insist upon having a decision of the Court simply because the difficulty is of frequent occurrence in administration of estates and has not yet been judicially decided.

Our Clients request you to consider the matter carefully and write to us and to Messrs.Little & Co.

The Summons stands adjourned to 19th October, 1932 but as this date falls in the vacation the summons will be on board on 31st October, 1931.

Yours faithfully,

Soonderdas Dwarkadas & Co.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction

Suit No. 1176 of 1932 (O.S.)

Charles Mortimer Eastley European Inhabitant Administrator of the estate of the late Keshav Balkrishna Mavlankar deceased Solicitor a partner in the firm of Messrs.Little & Co., of Central Bank Building, Fort, Bombay) Plaintiff

v

1. Rao Bahadur N.K.Kelkar Hindu Inhabitant residing at Ballaghat Central Province
2. Anent Balkrishna Mavlankar of Bombay Indu Inhabitant residing at Brahmin Samaj Building,Benham Hall Lane,Girgaum, Bombay
3. R.S.Gole Hindu Inhabitant residing at No.13 Khote Bhuvan Saraswat Colony Matunga G.X.P.Railway Bombay
4. M.K.Lole Hindu Inhabitant residing at c/o A.N.Datar Sagar Mahal Dewas Senior
5. K.K.Vaidya Hindu Inhabitant Solicitor of this Hon'ble Court residing at Vaidyn Cottage Vile Parle) Defendants

UPON READING the Plaint herein AND UPON HEARING Messrs. Little & Co., Attorneys for the Plaintiff abovenamed IT IS ORDERED that the Defendants do on the 10th day of October 1932 after service of this Summons upon them do attend before the Sitting Judge in Chambers for the determination of the following questions:-

(1) Whether the Plaintiff should out of the Indian

assets coming into his hands first pay in full all claims of creditors of the estate of Keshav Mavlankar deceased in Briti sh India without reference to the claims of creditors in England or elsewhere outside of British India? or

(2) Whether the Plaintiff should allow English or other foreign creditors to participate in the Indian estate of the deceased on their bringing into account whatever sums they may have received from the English estate of the deceased with a view to a rateable distribution between the two sets of creditors?

(3) If the answers to the 2nd question is in the affirmative, whether the Plaintiff would be justified in accepting claims admitted as proved in the English administration as proved or must such claims be again strictly proved in the Indian Administration?

Dated this 28th day of July, 1932

sd. R.B.Patel
Pro. & Senior Master

(sd) Little & Co.

Plaintiff's Attorneys.

NOTE: If the Defendants do not appear at the time and place above mentioned such order will be made and proceedings taken as the Judge may think just and expedient.

HIGH COURT

O.O.C.J.

Suit No.1176 of 1932 (O.S.)

Charles Mortimer Eastley-Plaintiff

v

Rao Bahadur N.K.Kelkar and Others Defendants

Copy

ORIGINATING SUMMONS

Blundell Baker & Co.,
16 Serjeants Inn,
Fleet St.E.C.4.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

14th December, 1932.

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY
3 JAN

2467

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

K.B.Mavlankar, decd.

In continuation of my letter of the 15th November, I write to state that I understand that a settlement with the Indian creditors of this Estate has been arrived at at 50% of the original claims. I since understand from Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. that a new creditor, Mr. A. Datar, has since applied for settlement of his claims. His original claim is for Rs.1300.

The matter is being investigated by our Solicitors and I shall report to you the final action taken by them.

Vide T. of even
dt 15/12.32 for
ref received.

I enclose a copy of the letter received by our Solicitors from Messrs. Little & Co. in connection with this subject.

Yours faithfully,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Copy of letter from Little & Co., dated 25th November, 1932, to Blundell Baker & Co.

Re Estate of K.B.Mavlankar, decd.

In continuation of our letter to you of the 21st ult. we have to report that we have now been able to settle with the deceased's creditors at 50% of their original claims and have accordingly paid some of the creditors. As soon as we pay the remaining ones we shall be able to close the administration of this estate. The Originating Summons issued herein will be allowed to be dismissed on the 28th inst. on which date it stands adjourned by non-appearance of the parties.

We are having our bill of costs prepared in this matter and will remit to you the balance in our hands after deducting the amount thereof as soon as possible.

It will probably be necessary to publish formal notices in the local newspapers regarding any further claims against the estate before actually remitting the balance to you and we will do so in due course.

Since writing the above we have received a letter from Messrs. Soonderdas Dwarkadas & Co. regarding a further claim of about Rs.1300 by one Mr. A.N. Datar and we shall be obliged by your instructions by return of mail or by cable. We enclose a copy of their letter.

Copy of letter from Soonderdas Dwarkadas & Co.,
42, Haman Street, Bombay, dated 25th Nov. 1932.

Re Estate of K.B. Mavlankar, decd.

On behalf of our client, Mr. A.N.Datar, we are instructed to address you as under:-

Our client understands that your partner Mr. C.M. Eastley has obtained letters of Administration to the estate of the above named deceased in British India and has taken out an Originating Summons for obtaining directions from the Court as to whether the Creditors in British India should be paid in full. Our client further understands that your client has arrived at a settlement of the question with certain creditors who are parties to the Originating Summons on the basis that those creditors should be paid a sum equal to 50% of their claims in full satisfaction against the above estate.

Our client states that he is also a creditor of the above estate to the extent of about Rs.1300 and at a time when there was no Administration in India our client arranged to get his claim proved in England before the Receiver there. Our client understands that his claim has been admitted by the Receiver there but as the papers of the case are in England our client does not know the exact amount of the claim admitted there. Our client understands that your client has received a list of claims admitted in England and in the said list the amount due to our client will be found admitted.

We are therefore instructed to call upon your client to pay the amount of our clients claim before remitting the assets in India to England. Our client's claim is also payable in British India and without prejudice to our client's contention that his claim ought to be paid in full our client is prepared to accept 50% of the same in full settlement as the other creditors have done.

Please note that our client will hold your client personally liable if the assets are remitted to England without paying our client's claim. If your client wants to expedite matters he can obtain instructions by a cable from the Receiver in England to pay our client's claim here on the basis of the settlement with other creditors.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOE, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

15th December, 1932.

2458

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARY
3 JAN 1933

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

K.B.Mavlankar, decd.

In continuation of my letter of yesterday, I enclose copy of the instructions issued by our Solicitors to their Bombay Agents regarding A.N.Datar's claim.

Yours faithfully,

Ranganatha Rao Sahib

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Copy of letter from Blundell Baker & Co.
dated 14th December, 1932, to Little & Co.

K.B. Mavlankar; decd.

We duly received and thank you for your letter of 25th November received here on Monday last, the 12th December. With regard to Mr. Datar, we give you the following facts:-

(1) If you will refer to Mr.Mavlankar's will you will see he says "Another small debt I owe is to Mr.A.N. Datar about £80. to £85. being an insurance claim recovered for him after he went to India some years ago from London. This also should be paid off to him by my daughters".

(2) Nothing more is known of Mr. Datar's claim on this side beyond the above. The books and papers of Mr.Mavlankar contain no reference to the debt or to the recovery of any insurance money for him.

(3) The Defendant in the proceedings here and her Solicitor, Mr. Bywaters, swore an Affidavit on 21st May 1930 veryfying the Creditors who had claims on the Estate and there were two exhibits A & B. to such Affidavit.
We do not think you have had copies of this Affidavit or the Exhibits so we enclose them. You will see Datar's claim in Part II of Exhibit "B" and with regard to it, Paragraph 5 of the Affidavit said that the Deponents could not say whether the amount was due or should be allowed without further evidence.

(4) On 26th June 1930 the Master in Chancery in adjudicating upon the claims directed Datar's claim to stand over to enable the Defendant Mrs. Mavlankar's Solicitor to communicate with Mr. Datar.

(5) Messrs. Julius White & Bywaters despatched a letter to Mr. Datar on 7th July 1930 and we enclose you a copy of their letter as also one from them to us of 13th December inst. dealing with the matter.

(6) The matter came before the Master again on the 20th November 1930 when as nothing had been heard of from Mr. Datar and he had not filed any Affidavit in support of his claim, the same was disallowed by the Master.

The above are all the facts we can give you with regard to Mr. Datar. Now for a few observations for your use:-

(a) As there is no reference to any amount due to Mr. Datar in Mr. Mavlankar's books and as the recovery of the Insurance moneys took place presumably many years ago any claim would, we think, be statute barred and that was why Mr. Mavlankar requested his daughters to pay the amount in his Will, because he knew it was statute barred and regarded it as a ~~debit~~ debt of honour.

(b) We think Mr. Mavlankar's Will is probably correct in saying that the amount was due in respect of some insurance money he had recovered for Mr. Datar after the latter had returned to India. If so Mr. Datar's claim (if any) would be provable here against the English assets and not against the Indian assets.

(c) If Mr. Datar's Solicitors can convince you that Mr. Datar has a claim for the £80. or so which is not statute barred, we are quite prepared to admit it here amongst the English claims upon which a dividend will be paid.

(d) Alternatively if Mr. Datar's Solicitors can convince you that Mr. Datar has a claim against the Indian Assets which is not statute barred you are at liberty to make the best terms you can to close the matter.

(e) We think you will find that Mr. Datar's claim (if any) is statute barred - that it arose from some insurance money recovered by Mr. Mavlankar here for Mr. Datar many years ago - that if it is provable at all it should be included here.

We think with the above information you will be able to effectively dispose of Mr. Datar's claim and wind the matter up in India.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section 507

File No. 21-29

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. D 3204

To

The T. P. in London.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir,

Date of issue 5/1/33

With reference to your letter of 6.10.32 intimating that you have agreed to the claims against late Mr Manilankar in India having settled from his London assets on the best possible terms with a view to secure an early settlement of Mr Manilankar's affairs and requesting approval of your action, I am directed to convey the approval of Govt. to the action taken by you in the matter.

Signed by

I have &c

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

31.1.33

For Secy

6-2-33

5th January 1933.

D 3254

The

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

With reference to your letter dated 6th October 1932 intimating that you have agreed to claims against the late Mr. Mavlankar in India being settled from his Indian assets on the best possible terms, with a view to securing an early settlement of Mr Mavlankar's affairs, and requesting approval of your action, I am directed to convey the approval of -- Government to the action taken by you in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

TC/S.

DEVELOPMENT SEC.
12. AUG. 1933

21st July, 1933.

BY AIR MAIL.

27th July, 1933.
COPY BY SUBSEQUENT
ORDINARY MAIL.

12/8

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

Re Mavlankar's Estate.

In continuation of my letter of the 7th February, in regard to the above subject, I write to state that Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. reported to me that their Solicitors in Bombay had remitted to them a demand draft for £435.15.1d. being the equivalent of Rs.5,800/-, retaining in their hands a sum of Rs.302.0.1. to meet the costs of passing the Administrator's accounts in India. These amounts represent the total realisations from the Indian Estate of the Deceased.

On further enquiry from the firm, Messrs. Blundell Baker state that they see no reason why matters should not be concluded on this side, that is in England, towards the end of the year. The Courts here close at the end of this month till the beginning of October.

Yours faithfully,

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 28/8/33

Signed by H. M. S. Hotz

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section S.O.F.

File No. 21.29.

Serial No.

No. 1973

To The Trade Commr.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 21st July 1933 Submitting a report about the total realisations from the Indian Estate after late Mr. Manlankar, I am directed to request you to be so good as to take necessary action to have the matter closed without further delay. I am to request you further to submit a clear report showing (i) the amounts due to Govt. from late Mr. Manlankar, (ii) the amounts spent by Govt. in connection with the recovery where amounts due Inches Solicitors' fees &c., (iii) the amount actually realised - sale, and balance still due.

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

In this connection, I am further to state that your letter of 7.2.33 referred to in your letter under acknowledgement has not been received in this office.

I have to

Miss Marske
Omitted

18.8.33.

For Asst

18.8.33.

22.8.33

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, ~~28th August 1933~~ 29.8.33

No. D973

From S.F. 21-28

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.

To

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London,
Grand Buildings,
Trafalgar Square, London W.C.2.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 21st July 1933, submitting a report about the total realisations from the Indian Estate of the late Mr. Mavlankar, I am directed to request you to be so good as to take necessary action to have the matter closed without further delay.

I am to request you further to submit a clear report showing (i) the dues to Government by the late Mr. Mavlankar, (ii) the amounts spent by Government in connection with the recovery of these dues, such as Solicitors' fees etc. (iii) and the amount actually recovered to date, and balance still to be realised.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

TRM.2

H. D. Rice. M[redacted]t., C,E.
~~C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.E.~~
AG. TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

R/W.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

October 17th, 1933.

1632

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
4. NOV. 1933

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Development Department.
BANGALORE.

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER
7 NOV. 1933
No. 3517

Dear Sir,

THE ESTATE OF K.B. MAVLANKAR

With reference to correspondence ending with my letter of the 29th of September, I am now informed by Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co., that they have received the final account from Messrs. Little & Co., Bombay, together with a draft for £7. 0. 9d which represents the balance in the hands of Little & Co., from the assets of the Estate collected in India. Messrs. Blundell Baker are now in a position to close the accounts, and I shall ask them to do this without delay, after which, a complete report will be submitted.

Yours faithfully,

H. D. Rice

Ag. Trade Commissioner
for Mysore in London.

Subject for remark.
18.6.11.33.
6.11.33
Dev Sec'y
First Number.
7.11.33
8/11/33
8.11.33
15.1.34
18 NOV. 33.
DEVELOPMENT

H.D. RICE. M. Inst. C.E.,
~~C. RANGANATHA RAO, Ins. C.E., B.E.~~
AG. TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

R/W.

1627

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

September 29th, 1933.

DEVELOPMENT SECT
21.

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Development Department.
Piblic Offices.
BANGALORE.

24/10

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER
25 OCT. 1933
No. 3117

Dear Sir,

K.B. Mavlankar decd.,

Re. Your No. D. 973 dated 29th August.

Sinha for personal
with reference.
26.23.10.33

Dev: Secy.

Q/C 24/10

First Member.

I have had some correspondence with the Government Solicitors, Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co., I enclose, for your information, copy of their letter dated 19th of September, from which you will see that they are expecting to hear further from Messrs. Little & Co., of Bombay, and that they will then be in a position to close the matter on this side. The Courts have been closed for three months, but they re-open on the 1st of October and I shall certainly do as requested and have Messrs. Blundell, Baker try and get the matter settled up finally before the close of the present calendar year.

Q/C 24/10. 24.10.33.

Yours faithfully,

Ag. Trade Commissioner
for Mysore in London.

Q/C 26/10

26.10.33

for Brie
15.1.34

DEVELOPMENT SECTION.

BLUNDELL BAKER & CO.

C O P Y.

BLUNDELL,BAKER & CO.,
16, SERJEANT'S INN.
FLEET STREET. E.C.4.

19th September, 1933.

- - - - - - -

Dear Sir,

Re. K.B. Mavlankar decd.

We acknowledge your letter of yesterday. We made you a full report on this matter on the 13th of October 1931. We made a further report on the matter on the 22nd of August 1932. Since that date we have reported to you from time to time the position of the Administration of the Estate in India. You will have gathered from our letter of the 11th of July 1933 forwarding a copy of Messrs. Little & Co's letter of the 22nd of June that the Indian Estate had been administered save for a small sum retained in India to meet the costs of passing the Administration Account and having the Sureties discharged.

On the 4th of August 1933, Messrs. Little & Co., wrote us acknowledging the various documents which they sent us with their letter of the 22nd of June and they added as follows:-

> "We are filing the original document in Court and will "proceed to have the Administrator's Accounts passed and "the sureties discharged as soon as possible. We shall "try to finish this matter by September as desired. "We shall write to you again as soon as we are in a "position to do so".

The moment we hear from Messrs. Little & Co., we are then in a position to close the whole matter on this side and the position will be that all the Estate will be liquidated and the funds either in Court or on deposit except certain consignments of mica still remaining over here which cannot be finally dealt with without the Master's directions. We have a note that the moment we hear from Little & Co., we are to prepare the necessary Affidavits to obtain the Master's Directions with regard to the mica and also with regard to the claims against the Estate here and following on the above an Order for further consideration for winding up the Estate can be obtained.

As you know, our Courts here re-open on the 1st of October and we are very hopeful that before the end of the year we can have the whole matter disposed of. Once it is disposed of, the exact figure will be available for the Government of Mysore.

We remain, Yours faithfully,

BLUNDELL BAKER & CO.

H. D. RICE, M.Inst.C.E.,

~~C.RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A.,B.L.~~

Dy. TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

3743

R/K. 13th March, 1934.

31 MAR.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

The late K.B. Mavlankar.

I enclose herewith copy of a letter dated 12th March, from Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co., which is in reply to my recent letter asking them to let me know how this matter was progressing and what final settlement could be expected.

It seems quite impossible to hurry the matter, but nevertheless, everything possible is being done. As a matter of a fact, I believe I am right in saying that the longer this delay the better; the reason being that the proceeds of the Estate have mostly been invested in Government funds, and these have been appreciating very considerably of late. I think that had the Estate been closed some two or three months ago, the amount available for distribution would have been considerably less than at the present moment. It now appears that final settlement will not be made until some time in April.

Yours faithfully,

3 - Apr

Dy. Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

OFFICE OF THE
MEMBER OF COUNCIL
24 APR. 1934
No. 5315

Copy of letter dated 12th March, 1934

from Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co.

Dear Sir,

re K.B. Mavlankar.

In reply to yours of the 10th instant, the Order on Further Consideration has now been made. This directs taxation of the costs and the division of the balance amongst the creditors.

The order is now with the Registrar being drawn up and as soon as we receive a copy from the Registrar, we will send you one to despatch to India. As soon as you get the order you will see exactly the position of the matter and how it is to be worked out.

Yours faithfully,

The Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

H. D. RICE, M.Inst.C.E.,
C.RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
Dy: TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.
Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

4212

6917
4-5-34

R/K. 12th April, 1934.

DEVELOPMENT DEPT. 28 APR. 1934

The Secretary to the
Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

8501

Dear Sir,

Mavlankar v Mysore Government.

With reference to correspondence ending with my letter of 13th March, I have now received from our Solicitors a copy of the Final Order passed in the Chancery Division by Mr. Justice Clauson. I enclose herewith an advance copy for your perusal.

for perusal
we may get
about 5000 £
again a claim
£ 33.000
Dewan

28.6.34.

You will see that there are 86 Creditors of which 15 are shown as being entitled to priority and payment in full. After meeting this, the remaining 71 Creditors receive pro rata, their proportion of the assets calculated on the amount owing to them.

1/5

3.5.

From the Payment Schedules you will see that there is approximately £7,600 available for distribution. I have not been able to get an approximate figure of the taxed costs. The various sums invested in Consols and Stock are shown at their face value, and as I mentioned some time ago in an earlier letter, these have appreciated very considerably.

3/5

The total of Creditor's claims is £39594 of which the Mysore claim is (48) £32127 plus (38) £154 plus (86) £991:14s. = £33272.

4-5-34

5.5.

5/5

Sent file
1.6.34 HB.
5.5.34.

DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Assuming for purposes of argument thatafter the taxed costs have been deducted from the assets there will be a balance of £6,000, the Mysore Government share will be say, £33,000 of £6,000. 40,000

These are only rough figures as they appear to me, the whole question depending upon the action of the Taxing Master as to the fees payable on the Plaintiff's and Defendant's case.

I believe we can now safely anticipate that final settlement will be made in about three weeks time, and I will write to you fully directly I have further news.

Yours faithfully,

Dy. Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE 1930 M. 934.

CHANCERY DIVISION

MR HICKS BEACH MR JUSTICE CLAUSON at Chambers.

REGISTRAR MASTER HAWKINS

Stamp 10/-

TUESDAY the 6th day of MARCH 1934.

LS

IN THE MATTER of the Estate of KESHAV BALKRISHNA MAVLANKAR deceased

B E T W E E N THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE Plaintiff

and

TARABAI MAVLANKAR (Widow) Defendant

UPON THE APPLICATION of the Plaintiff by Summons dated 23rd February 1934 for the further consideration of this action adjourned by the Judgment dated 14th March 1930 and UPON HEARING the Solicitors for the Plaintiff and UPON READING an affidavit of Herbert Edward Davenport filed 1st March 1934 of service of the said Summons upon the Defendant the said Judgment the Order dated 5th April 1933 two Certificates of the Master dated respectively 3rd February 1934 and 14th February 1934 the Certificate of Lodgment and the Certificates of the Funds

AND IT APPEARING from the evidence aforesaid that Charles William Boyce the Receiver and Manager appointed in this action has passed his final account as such Receiver and Manager and has lodged in Court the balance certified to be due from him

IT IS ORDERED that he be discharged and that the

Bond dated 3rd April 1930 (reduced from Five thousand pounds to Five hundred pounds by the said Order dated 5th April 1933) entered into by the said Charles William Boyce with The London Assurance Corporation as his surety be vacated

AND IT APPEARING from the affidavit of the Defendant filed 22nd May 1930 that in carrying on the business of the above named Testator Keshav Balkrishna Mavlankar from the date of his death on 1st February 1930 down to and including 14th March 1930 the date on which the said Receiver and Manager was appointed the Defendant incurred the debts remaining unpaid as set forth in Column 5 Part 1 of the First Schedule to the Master's said Certificate dated 14th February 1934

AND IT APPEARING that the Defendant and her Estate and effects should be indemnified from all personal liability in respect of such debts or any of them so incurred by her as aforesaid and that the said Creditors particulars of whose debts appear in the said Column 5 Part 1 of the First Schedule to the Master's said Certificate dated 14th February 1934 should rank in priority to the Creditors of the Testator particulars of whose debts appear in Column 4 of Part 1 of the First Schedule to the Master's said Certificate dated 14th February 1934

IT IS ORDERED that it be referred to the Taxing Master to tax as between Solicitor and Client the costs of the Plaintiff and of the Defendant of this action including in the costs of the Plaintiff any costs of correspondence preliminary to the action with the Plaintiff Government and its advisers and its Trade Commissioner in London and the costs of and occasioned by a Notice of Motion dated 24th October 1930 against Joseph William Bobin and Biagio Giannetto Giordano so

far as not already taxed and allowed and including in the costs of the Defendant any charges and expenses properly incurred by her as Executor of the Testator's Will beyond her costs of this action after giving credit for the sum of Thirty pounds paid to the Defendants then Solicitors on March 4th 1930 referred to in the Master's said Certificate dated 14th February 1934.

AND IT IS ORDERED that the funds in Court be dealt with as directed in the Schedules hereto

AND the parties are to be at liberty to apply.

LS

S.C. PAY OFFICE—No. 4.

[S.C. FUNDS RULES 6.]

[DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.] 1.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE—CHANCERY DIVISION.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE.

Date of Order 6th MARCH 19 34

Title of Cause or Matter Re KESHAV BALKRISHNA MAVLANKAR deceased THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT v MAVLANKAR 1930 M.934

(*) If same as title of cause, state "as above."

Ledger Credit (*) As above

Funds in Court

£10.16. 4. Cash

£252. 7. 8. Money on Deposit

£1326. 5. 8. 2½% per cent Consols

Particulars of Payments, Transfers or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.	Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts. Money. £ s. d.	Amounts. Securities. £ s. d.
CARRY over funds to the ledger credit mentioned in the second column	"Re MAVLANKAR deceased The "Government of His Highness "The Maharajah of Mysore v. "Mavlankar 1930 M.934"		

E. COX & SONS, LAW FORM PUBLISHERS, 1, NEW COURT, CAREY ST., LONDON, W.C.2.

632250

9821

(Y9100) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sp Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4.

[S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence.

[DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.] 11.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE.

Date of Order 6th MARCH 19 34

Title of Cause or Matter re MAVLANKAR THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v MAVLANKAR 1930 M.934

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit** As above

Funds in Court
- £10.17. 9. Cash
- £622. 4. 7. 3½ per cent War Stock
- £4338.12. 4. Local Loans 3 per cent Stock

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.	Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
		Money.	Securities.
CARRY OVER funds to the ledger credit mentioned in the second column	"Re MAVLANKAR deceased The "Government of His Highness "The Maharajah of Mysore "v. Mavlankar 1930 M.934"		

(Y0160) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sp Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4. [S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence. [DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.] 111.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE.

Date of Order 6th MARCH 19 34

Title of Cause or Matter Re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR 1930 M.934

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit** Said Action Proceeds of sale of Testator's Estate

Funds in Court £1,309. 0. 9. 3½ per cent War Stock

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.	Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
		Money.	Securities.
CARRY over funds to general credit of action	"Re MAVLANKAR deceased The "Government of His Highness "The Maharajah of Mysore v "Mavlankar 1930 M.934"		

(Y9160) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sp Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4.

[S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence.

[DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.] 1V

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE.

Date of Order 6th MARCH 1934

Title of Cause or Matter Re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v MAVLANKAR 1930 M.934

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit** As above

Funds in Court Funds to be carried over under Payment Schedules 1 11 and 111.

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.	Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts. Money.	Amounts. Securities.		
SELL 3½ per cent Consols			1326	5	8
SELL 3½ per cent War Stock			622	4	7
SELL Local Loans 3 per cent Stock			4338	12	4
SELL 3½ per cent War Stock			1309	0	9
PAY Income Tax (if any)			59	2	4
PAY costs of Plaintiff and Defendant to be taxed under this Order					

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.			
			Money.			Securities.
AND no duty being payable by reason of insufficiency of Estate to pay principal sums due to Creditors of Testator						
PAY the Creditors entitled to priority and named in the second column the amounts set opposite to their names no part thereof being in respect of interest						
	1	C. Raggett & Co. The Proprietors (British Soap Manufacturer) of 30 Red Lion Square W.C.1.	4	-	-	
	2	Carter Paterson & Co. Limited of 128, Goswell Road, E.C.1.		7	3	
	3	Charrington Gardner Locket & Co Limited of 212 Horn Lane Acton, W.3.	1	16	8	
	4	J. & A. Churchill of 40 Gloucester Place, W.1.		6	5	

(Y9160) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sr Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4. [S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence.

[DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE. (Contd)

Date of Order.....19.....

Title of Cause or Matter re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v MAVLANKAR

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit** 1930 M.934

Funds in Court

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts. Money.			Securities.		
	5	Imperial & International Communications Limited of Electra House Moorgate E.C.2.	£1	18	3			
	6	Monsanto Chemical Works Limited of Victoria Station House, Victoria Street S.W.1.	22	8	-			
	7	Jordan Berger Nachf of Sprinkenhof Hamburg 1.	1	4	-			
	8	London Essence Co Limited of Glengall Road Peckham S.E.15.	1	2	8			
	9	W. Naumann of 40 Charterhouse Square E.C.1.	10	17	0			
	10	Ormco Limited of London Street, E.14.		15	5			

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.					
			Money.			Securities.		
	11	A. Pfeiffer of Pottschlie Bfach 68, Wetzler Germany.		7	6			
	12	H. Raab & Co. of Roermond Holland	4	14	10			
	13	Russian Oil Products Limited of 153 Moorgate E.C.2.	9	19	2			
86. 15/71	14	Stevenson & Howell Limited of 95a Southwark Street, S.E.1.		13	11			
	15	Weigel Leygonie & Co. Limited of 104 Golden Lane, E.C.1.	7	4	0			
PAY residue of funds to Creditors in the second column rateably in proportion to the amounts set opposite to their names in this column in all £39594.13. 3. no part thereof being in respect of interest £2. 4. 6.	16	Association of Manufacturing Chemists Limited of 26, Kimberley House 14, Holborn Viaduct E.C.1.						

(Y9160) Wt P118/210 1,000 4/33 H & Sp Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4.

[S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence.

[DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE. (Contd)

Date of Order.....19.....

Title of Cause or Matter re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR

1930 M.934

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit**.....

Funds in Court .....

.....

.....

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts. Money.	Amounts. Securities.
£1. 0. 0.	17	G.H. Bartlett & Co. of 11/13 Hatton Wall, Hatton Garden E.C.1.		
5. 8. 4.	18	Bells Asbestos & Engineering Supplies Limited of Bestobell Works, Slough Bucks		
5. 4.11.	19	F.W. Berk & Co. Limited of 106 Fenchurch Street, E.C.3.		
7. 6.	20	Faredoom J. Bhumgara of 28 Camomile Street E.C.3.		
14.14. 0.	21	Frederick Boehm Limited of 17 Jewry Street E.C.3.		

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
			Money.	Securities.
£1.17. 3.	22	Henry William Braun of 16, Water Lane Great Tower Street, E.C.3.		
14. 6.	23	British Engine Boiler & Electrical Insurance Co.Limited of 72 Bennett Street, Rochdale Road Manchester.		
7.13. 3.	24	British Drug Houses Limited of 16/30 Graham Street, City Road N.1.		
84. 0. 0.	25	C. Raggett & Co. The Proprietors (British Soap Manufacturer) of 30 Red Lion Square W.C.1.		
3.17. 2.	26	Brown Jenkinson & Co.Limited of 17/18 Billiter Street, London E.C.3.		
20. 6. 0.	27	Bubeck & Dolder of Basle 4 Case Postale 14693 Switzerland		
1. 0. 0.	28	Caribonum Limited of Laura Road, Leyton E.10		
8.19. 3.	29	Carless Capel & Leonard of Hope Chemical Works, Hackney Wick E.9.		

(X9160) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sp Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4. [S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence. [DRAFT PAYMENT. SCHEDULE.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE. (Contd)

Date of Order.....19.....

Title of Cause or Matter re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit** 1930 M.934

Funds in Court

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts. Money.	Amounts. Securities.
2.10.	30	Carter Paterson & Co.Limited of 128 Goswell Road, E.C.1.		
2. 3.	31	T.S.A. Chalisha c/o Siraga Vasi & Co. 65 Apollo Street Bombay		
4.18. 8.	32	Charrington Gardner Locket & Co. Limited of 212 Horn Lane Acton, W.3.		
1. 3. 2.	33	City of London Electric Lighting Co.Limited of Falcon House, Alderggate Street, E.C.1.		
10.10. 0.	34	Dr. James Collier of 30 Wimpole Street, W.1.		

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
			Money.	Securities.
18. 0.	35	Corrugated Packing Material Co. of Ambrose Street Mill, West Gorton Manchester		
4. 15. 9.	36	County of London Electric Supply Co.Limited of 46 New Broad Street, E.C.2.		
9.15. 0.	37	Dennisons Waltham Watch Depot of 9, Holborn Viaduct, E.C.1.		
154. 0. 0.	38	Les Fils de Maurice Duclos c/o Trade Commissioner for and on behalf of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore Grand Buildings, Trafalgar Square, W.C.2.		
8. 3.10.	39	Imperial & International Communications Limited of Electra House, Moorgate E.C.2.		
9. 3.10.	40	Essences & Synthetics Limited of 4, Carlisle Avenue, E.C.3.		
2. 8. 0.	41	L. Givandan & Co.Limited of 43 Great Tower Street, E. C. 3.		
14.13. 9.	42	V. Goulding & Co.Limited of 41 and 43, Moor Lane, E.C.2.		

(Y0160) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sr Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4. [S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence. [DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE. (Contd)

Date of Order.....19.....

Title of Cause or Matter re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR

1930 M.934

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit**.....

Funds in Court.....

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts. Money.	Amounts. Securities.
270. 0. 0.	43	The Grand Union Canal Company c/o Woodbridge & Sohs, 5 Serjeants Inn, Fleet Street,E.C.4.		
1.11. 6.	44	D.M. & D.M. Humby of 88 Newgate Street, E.C.1.		
2. 3. 0.	45	Jordan Berger Nachf of Sprinkenhof Hamburg 1		
27. 6. 0.	46	Julius White & Bywaters of Stevenage House 40-44, Holborn Viaduct, E.C.1.		
22.16. 6.	47	Dr. David Kernohan of 97 Magdalen Road,S.W.18.		
32127. 1. 4.	48	Trade Commissioner for and on behalf of the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore Grand Buildings, Trafalgar Square, W.C.2.		

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
			Money.	Securities.
22. 6. 8.	49	J.C.King Limited of 42-60 Goswell Road, E.C.1.		
21. 6. 6.	50	London Essence Co.Limited of Glengall Road, Peckham S.E.15.		
3. 1. 6.	51	London Name Plate Manufacturing Co.Limited of 72-75 Turnhill Street, E.C.1.		
57. 5. 0.	52	A. Luff & Sons Limited of 68, Home Park Road Wimbledon Park, S.W.19.		
12. 2. 6.	53	Membery & Co.Limited of 405 King Street, Hammersmith, W.6.		
18. 0.	54	Methylating Co.Limited of Kinnaird House, Pall Mall East, S.W.1.		
1. 17. 6.	55	Marryat & Place of 40 Hatton Garden, E.C.1.		
12. 13. 9.	56	Ebenezer Maxim & Son Limited of 141-142 Fenchurch Street, E.C.3.		
4550. 3. 3.	57	National Provincial Bank Limited of Holborn Circus Branch E.C. 1.		
5. 5. 0.	58	Charles Olley & Sons Limited of Camperdown Street, Leman Street, E.1.		

(Y9160) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sr Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4. [S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence. [DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE. (Contd)

Date of Order..... 19.....

Title of Cause or Matter re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR

1930 M.934

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit**.....

Funds in Court.....

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
			Money.	Securities.
18. 8.	59	N.V. Cranje of Amsterdam		
31. 7. 6.	60	Perfumery & Essential Oil Record of 8, Serle Street W.C.2.		
110.10. 0.	61	Ernest John Parry of 15 Borough High Street, S.E.1.		
1. 1. 3.	62	A. Pfeiffer of Pottschlie Bfach 68, Wetzler Germany.		
17. 2. 6.	63	His Majesty's Postmaster General of Cornwall House, Waterloo Road, S.E. 1.		

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
			Money.	Securities.
25.15.10.	64	Prices Patent Candle Co.Limited of Belmont Works, Battersea S.W.11.		
41. 9. 8.	65	Rickett Smith & Co. of 52 Mark Lane, E.C.3.		
6. 3. 6.	66	Rickmansworth & Uxbridge Valley Water Co of Wiveliscombe, Nicol Road Chalfont St.Peter Bucks		
12. 3.	67	A.J.Rippin Limited of 17 Harp Lane, Great Tower E.C.3.		
38. 2. 6.	68	Russian Oil Products Limited of 153 Moorgate, E.C.2.		
62.10. 0.	69	W.Sanderson & Sons of Messina, Sicily		
458.16. 0.	70	Anupchand Melapchand Shah of 15 Rue de Chateaudun, Paris		
1. 1. 0.	71	William Herbert Simmons of 24 Grosvenor Gardens, S.W.1.		
17.10.	72	Stevenson & Howell Limited of 95a Southwark Street, S.E.1.		
1. 5. 0.	73	Stubbs Limited of 42 Gresham Street, E.C.2.		

(Y9160) Wt P118/240 1,000 4/33 H & Sp Gp 102

S.C. PAY OFFICE.—No. 4. [S.C. FUNDS RULE 6.]

Price.—Two Pence. [DRAFT PAYMENT SCHEDULE.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.—Chancery Division.

PAYMENT SCHEDULE. (Contd)

Date of Order.....19.....

Title of Cause or Matter re MAVLANKAR deceased THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE v. MAVLANKAR

* If same as Title or Cause, state "as above."

*Ledger Credit** 1930 M.934

Funds in Court

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts. Money.	Amounts. Securities.
2. 7. 6.	74	Suttley & Silvercock Limited of 186 Strand, W.C.2.		
3. 3.	75	Thompson & Norris Manufacturing Co.Limited of Stanley Gardens, W.3.		
7. 2. 4.	76	Tombarel Freres c/o The Natural Synthetic Perfumery Essence Co. 108 City Road, E.C.1.		
15. 5.11.	77	United Glass Bottle Manufacturers Limited of 40-43 Norfolk Street, Strand W.C.2.		
3. 7. 0.	78	University College Hospital Trained Nurses Institute of Huntley Street, W.C.2.		

Particulars of Payments, Transfers, or other operations to be carried out by the Accountant-General.		Payees and Addresses of same and Transferees, or Titles of separate Accounts.	Amounts.	
			Money.	Securities.
11. 0. 0.	79	William Verry of 4a New London Street, E.C.3.		
2.11. 6.	80	Wandsworth & District Gas Co. of Fairfield Street Wandsworth S.W.18.		
2. 4. 6.	81	Weigel Leygonie & Co.Limited of 104 Golden Lane, E.C.1.		
22.19. 7.	82	E.J.Welton & Co. of 4 Culham Street, E.C.3.		
11. 0.	83	Williams (Hounslow) Limited of Hounslow, Middlesex		
218.10. 0.	84	Young Jones & Co. of 2 Suffolk Lane, Cannon Street E.C.4.		
3. 3. 5.	85	Chas Zimmermann & Co (Chemicals) Limited of 9/10 St.Mary-at-Hill, E.C.3.		
991.14. 0.	86	The Trade Commissioner of and on behalf of the Government of His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore Grand Buildings Trafalgar Square, W.C.2.		

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

CHANCERY DIVISION

MR JUSTICE CLAUSON

6th March 1934

re MAVLANKAR deceased

THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE

v.

MAVLANKAR

Copy

ORDER

Blundell Baker & Co.
16 Serjeants Inn,
Fleet St. E.C.4.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.

Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

TC/S.

13th July, 1934.

BY AIR MAIL.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

K.B.Mavlankar, decd.

I have received a letter from Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. to-day that all formalities regarding this account may be completed in the course of another fortnight.

They have promised to let me know the amount available for distribution to the Mysore Government in respect of its claims on the late Mr. Mavlankar's estate.

Yours faithfully,

Ranganatha Rao Sahib

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
6 AUG. 1934
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

TC/S. 13th July, 1934.

BY AIR MAIL.

19th July, 1934.
COPY BY SUBSEQUENT
ORDINARY MAIL.

4.8.34.

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,--
Development Department,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

K.B. Havlankar, decd.

I have received a letter from Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. to-day that all formalities regarding this account may be completed in the course of another fortnight.

They have promised to let me know the amount available for distribution to the Mysore Government in respect of its claims on the late Mr. Havlankar's estate.

Yours faithfully,

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

S.O. 7. 21-23

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, B.A., B.L.
TRADE COMMISSIONER
FOR MYSORE
IN LONDON.

Telephone:
WHITEHALL 8888.
Telegrams:
MYSOF, RAND, LONDON.

GRAND BUILDINGS,
TRAFALGAR SQUARE,
LONDON, W.C.2.

28th September, 1934.

Please Quote Ref.....TC/M.....

AIR MAIL

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Developments Department,
BANGALORE.

K.B. Mavlankar Decd.

Dear Sir,

In continuation of this office's letter dated 12th April on the above subject, I enclose a copy of a letter dated 26th September received from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Co. D.42/2-33.

2 Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co. report that the total amount that will be allotted by the Court to the Mysore Government on account of its claim against the Estate of the late Mr. Mavlankar is £5,524. 14. 9d.

3 I shall take the necessary action for getting this credited to the Royalty Account with Messrs. Coutts & Company on receiving intimation from the Accountant General of the Royal Courts of Justice.

4 This sum does not include the amount that will be payable to Messrs. Duclos, our agents in Paris, to whom his full dues have been paid already by the Government on condition that any allotment from the Court should be credited to the Government. I am writing to Messrs. Duclos separately to credit any such amount he will receive from the Court to the Royalty Account with Messrs. Coutts & Company.

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

28th September, 1934.

I enclose the account for £157.10.0 from Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Company which they consider is due to them for their services in addition to the amount already allotted to them by the Court. I request the order of the Government on this account of Messrs. Blundell, Baker & Company.

Yours faithfully,

U Ramgamatha Rao Sahib

Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London.

Encl:

COPY.

Blundell, Baker & Co. 16, Serjeant's Inn,

Fleet Street,

G/IC E. C. 4

26th September, 1934.

Dear Sir,

K.B. Mavlankar decd.

We are now able to give you the final details of how this matter has worked out. Since we last wrote you the Inland Revenue and the Pay Office have been dealing with the question of Income Tax payable on the dividends received by the latter and this question was only finally settled on Friday last.

You already have a copy of the Order of the 6th March 1934.

The total amount of cash available under Payment Schedule IV including proceeds of sale of the various Securities and deposit interest and dividends received was £7574. 10. 6d

The income tax payable to the Government on the untaxed War Loan dividends was £ 20. 5. 4

The total costs of all the parties as taxed including Court fees on the taxation amounted to £ 881. 9. 7

Leaving available for the Creditors £6672. 15. 7

Deduct from this the Preferential Creditors £ 67. 15. 1

Leaving available for the unsecured Creditors £6605. 0. 6

which is equal to between 3/3 and 3/4 in the £.

You as representing the Government of Mysore are entitled to a dividend on the sum of £32127. 1. 4. being the debt proved for on behalf of the Government in the proveedings in respect of which you will receive from the Paymaster General a cheque for £5359. 6. 2

You are also entitled to a dividend on the sum of £991.14.0 proceeds of the Mica Consignments such dividend to be held by you for the Government of Mysore and to be accounted for or dealt with in the action pending in Mysore in which the Government are Plaintiffs and Sitaramachar and others are Defendants. This dividend will amount to £165. 8. 7 and this also you will receive from the Paymaster General. You will receive first of all two formal notices as to these dividends both of which please complete either for the cheques to be sent to you direct or alternatively direct to your Bank. You should then post the notices direct to the Account General, Royal Courts of Justice, London, W.C. 2 and your cheques will be sent you within two days thereafter.

You will see that the amounts received by the Government from the administration of Mr. Mavlankar's estate amount intotal to £5524.14.9 apart from the £275.19.2 proceeds of sale of the Mica by the Receiver which was paid to you on the 22nd January last, and are considerably larger than we have hitherto estimated and we are very pleased that it is so. This result is due (1) to the rise in Government Securities here in which the funds have been invested (a fact which may be set against the unavoidable length of time it has taken to administer the estate) and (2) to the strict taxation resulting in the whole costs payable out of the fund being only £881. 9. 7

This brings us to the question of our charges in connection with the whole matter. Our costs chargeable against the Fund have been very strictly taxed on the principle that they are payable out of an insolvent estate in which other creditors are interested. These costs were in fact allowed at £657. 9. 2 of which £275.2.5. was out of packet payments, so leaving only a sum of £382. 6. 9 as our remuneration for the work done and time spent during the whole course of the proceedings. The means that no allowance has been made for -

(a) the preliminary work before the proceedings were commenced apart from some of the correspondence dealing specifically with the amount of your claim

(b) the reports to you and the Government and attendances on and correspondence with you on many matters relating to the suit

(c) reports to and attendances on and correspondence with the Receiver who is nominally the Plaintiffs agent,

(d) Counsel's fees prior to the proceedings and general payments throughout.

In these circumstances we enclose a memorandum of our charges additional to those allowed on taxation amounting to £157.10.0 which we think is a reasonable fee to cover the items above mentioned from January 1930 down to date being practically five years. We shall be much obliged if you will submit this account for payment in due course.

We remain, dear Sir,

Yours faithfully,

signed)

The Trade Commissioner for
Mysore in London,
Grand Buildings,
Trafalgar Square, W.C., 2

Copy
CR
28/9/34

COPY.

16, Serjeants Inn,

Fleet Street, E.C. 4

26th September, 1934.

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Dr. To

BLUNDELL BAKER & CO.

---

re K.B. Mavlankar Deceased.

1930 January to 1934 September.	Professional charges in relation to the following matters which were not allowed on the taxation of the costs in the Administration proceedings in connection with this Estate -	
	1. General attendances and correspondence and obtaining Counsel's opinions as to position preliminary to the proceedings.	
	2. General attendances and correspondence during the proceedings and preparing long reports therein and advising you generally throughout.	
	3. General attendances on and correspondence with the Receiver during the proceedings.	
	4. Payments for Counsel's fees and general disbursements not otherwise allowed.	
	Inclusive fee for the above covering a period of nearly five years say -	£ 157.10. 0

G. R. S. Appn. No. 62
Bundle

Diary. No of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 28/12/34 193 4.

gned by .....

his be—

(i) printed ?

ii) treated as a standing order ?

i) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

) translated ?

placed at the disposal of the press ?

published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section S.O.7.

File No. 21-29

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

correspondence

ending with Letter No.

T.C./M. dated 28th Sept.'34

from the T.C. for Mysore

to London submitting a

report about the Em-

bezzlement of the case agst.

~~Order thereon.—No.~~ the late Mr. K.B.

Manlankar in London,

and ~~recommending~~ stating that

in addition to the sum

of £ 857-9-2 allowed by

the High Court of Justice,

Chancery Division to the Solicitors

in the case, the Letter also

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. TC/M dated 28th September 1934, from the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London submitting a report about the conclusion of the case against the late Mr. K.B. Mavlankar in London, and stating that in addition to the sum of £657. 9. 2 allowed by the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, to the Solicitors in the case, the latter claim an additional remuneration of £157-10-0 in the special circumstances of the case, and soliciting orders in the matter.

Order No. [illegible]

Bangalore, dated the 20th November 1934.

R e c o r d e d.

2. Sanction is accorded to the payment of the additional remuneration of £157. 10. 0. to the Sme Solicitors Messrs. Blundell Baker & Co., as a special case.

3. The Comptroller is requested to arrange in consultation with the Trade Commissioner to have the amount realised from Mr. Mavlankar's Estate duly credited to the Mysore Government Account with Messrs. Coutts & Company.

[signature]

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

To

The Director of Sandaloil Factory in Mysore

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

The Comptroller to Government with copy of the Trade Commissioner's letter dated 28th September 1934 and its enclosure.

TRM.4

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No of letter replied to .....

Section S.O.71.

File No. 21-29

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 8/1/1935

Signed by .....

Read— Correspondence ending with Letter no. 1967 dated 20th Nov. 1934 from the Dy. S.D.F. stating that the T.C. for Mysore in London has reported about the credit of a sum of £ 5'5'34-14-9 to Order thereon. No: Mysore Govt. Royalty Account during the month of Oct: 1934 representing the payment received from the Royal Courts of Justice on account of claim of Govt. of Mysore against the Estate

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Office note no. KB, Manohar

Order. 9/6/34

Recorded 5/11/34

K 28/11 For Secy.

To

The Dir. Sor:

" Comm/2 &c

" T.C. in London.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0185—GPB—15,000—13-8-34

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1967 dated the 20th November 1934 from the Director of Sandal Oil Factory in Mysore stating that the Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London has reported about the credit of a sum of £ 553-11-9 to Mysore Government Royalty account during the month of October 1934 representing the payment received from the Royal Courts of Justice on account of claim of Government of Mysore against the estate of the late MR. F.F. Navlankar.

Order No. 10432o-22/S.O.-I

Dated, Bangalore, 7th January 1935.

R e c o r d e d .

N. Mallappa
Secretary to Government,
Development Department

To

The Director of Sandal Oil Factory in Mysore,

The Comptroller to Government,

The Trade Commissioner for Mysore in London.

P.H.